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Introduction by the Organisers

The workshop Geometric partial differential equation: Theory, Numerics, and Applications, organized by Gerd Dziuk (Freiburg), Charles M. Elliott (Warwick), Gerhard Huisken (Golm), and Ralf Kornhuber (Berlin) was held November 28–December 2, 2011. The scientific program consisted of 23 plenary talks with a good mix of slide and blackboard presentations and a ‘Young researcher’s session’ on Wednesday evening. About 50 participants from America, Asia, and Europe represented the leading experts both from analysis and numerical analysis of partial differential equations (pdes) involving stationary and evolving surfaces and interfaces. The diversity of participants provided a wealth of new perspectives on this emerging field. Mutual interaction of analysis and numerics led to lively discussions after the talks, created a stimulating atmosphere throughout the conference, and gave rise to new, unexpected cooperations.

The focus was on partial differential equations of, on, and into Stationary and evolving surfaces and interfaces. Since the last decades of the preceding century,
mean curvature flow played a major role in geometric pdes. As one of the highlights, Klaus Ecker reviewed fundamental results on the Cauchy problem in the graph case which, in contrast to the standard heat equation allows for a smooth solution for all times without any growth conditions. Matteo Novaga discussed the long-time behavior of mean curvature flow in heterogeneous media. Using variational arguments rather than maximum principles, he showed convergence to traveling wave solutions. Harald Garcke reported on existence results for clusters of hypersurfaces evolving by mean curvature that meet under suitable angle conditions. Many grains with boundaries moving by mean curvature were considered by Selim Esedoglu. His highly optimized level set algorithm allows for large scale simulations of recrystallization for physically relevant parameter values. The motion of the interface of two immiscible fluids depends both on surface tension effects and on the dynamics in the bulk. Existence of strong solutions for an incompressible Navier-Stokes/Mullins-Sekerka system were presented by Helmut Abels. Anisotropic versions of mean curvature flow was considered by Carsten Gräser who concentrated on the stability analysis of various time discretizations of anisotropic phase field equations and the efficient and robust solutions of the resulting spatial problems by recent non-smooth Newton multigrid methods. Crystalline mean curvature flow is generated by anisotropic interfacial energies which are singular in the sense that the associated Frank diagram is no longer strictly convex. In his talk Yoshikazu Giga discussed polygonal motion, together with a variational and a viscosity approach to appropriate solution concepts and corresponding numerical techniques. Maurizio Paolini introduced the bidomain model, a system of two reaction-diffusion equations, which turned out to be formally asymptotic to non-convex, anisotropic mean curvature flow, and presented some numerical experiments.

The notion of bending energy similar to classical Kirchhoff plate theory gives rise to Willmore or Hellfrich energies which play a significant role in the macroscopic modeling of biomembranes. Klaus Deckelnick considered a conforming semi-discretization in space of the Willmore flow, i.e. the associated $L^2$ gradient flow of the Willmore energy in the graph case, and proved optimal error estimates for the resulting method of lines. Björn Stinner provided a numerical study of $H^1$-gradient flow for the Willmore functional using a mixed formulation consisting of quadratic and linear surface elements. A network of curves driven by elastic flow, a variant of Willmore flow, was considered by Robert Nürnberg. A striking feature of his algorithm is to use tangential motion to preserve well-distribution of mesh points throughout the evolution.

Ricci flow plays a crucial role not only in the celebrated proof of Poincaré’s conjecture but also in general relativity. James Isenberg, one of the pioneers of this field, gave a survey on numerics, matched asymptotics, and analysis of degenerate neckpinches in Ricci flow, while Hans Fritz presented an innovative definition of discrete Ricci curvature on triangulated hypersurfaces of arbitrary dimension based on a suitable weak formulation.
Partial differential equations on surfaces. In spite of considerable progress during the last years, the numerical analysis of pdes on surfaces is still in its infancy. The construction of parametrized cubical grids from an arbitrary tetrahedral mesh was presented by Konrad Polthier. Andrea Bonito reported on the state of the art of adaptive finite element methods for Laplace-Beltrami problems. Christian Lubich investigated implicit Runge-Kutta methods as applied to the ODEs arising from the spatially discrete Evolving Surface Finite Element Method, showing that the order of convergence is inherited from the classical case. Dietmar Kröner presented the ideas of a proof for existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions of nonlinear conservation laws on moving surfaces. Applications of adaptive finite elements to the numerical solution of the Einstein equations were given by Michael Holst. Oliver Rinne presented an approach to Einstein equations on constant mean curvature surfaces based on compactified coordinates. Many engineering problems involving, e.g., the motion of foams, grain growth or the evolution of multicellular structures give rise to coupled models for pdes of and on moving surfaces. James Sethian discussed a new approaches to this class of problems and showed various applications.

Partial differential equations into surfaces. Partial differential equations with non-convex constraints often can be regarded as pdes into surfaces. For example, the elastic bending of a thin plate leads to a minimization problem with isometry constraints. Sören Bartels introduced and analyzed finite element approximations of this problem and reported on recent numerical computations. Oliver Sander suggested an intrinsic approach to pdes into surfaces based on piecewise polynomial approximation along geodesics. An application of geodesic interpolation to topology preserving shape morphing and related problems in geometry processing were presented by Martin Rumpf.

The Young researcher’s session on Wednesday evening took place in a relaxed atmosphere with a glass of wine provided by the organizers. Two PhD students and two post-docs took the opportunity to present their recent work to an international audience and discuss possible future developments and perspectives.
Workshop: Geometric Partial Differential Equations: Theory, Numerics and Applications

Table of Contents

James A. Sethian (joint with Robert I. Saye)
  Computing multiphase physics using the Voronoi Implicit Interface Method ........................................ 3083

Yoshikazu Giga
  Crystalline curvature flow with spatially inhomogeneous driving force ........................................... 3085

Klaus Deckelnick (joint with Friedhelm Schieweck)
  Approximation of the Willmore flow of graphs by $C^1$–finite elements .......................................... 3088

Harald Garcke (joint with Daniel Depner, Yoshihito Kohsaka)
  Geometric evolution equations with triple junctions in higher dimensions ......................................... 3090

Oliver Rinne (joint with Vincent Moncrief)
  Evolution of the Einstein equations on constant mean curvature surfaces ........................................ 3093

Helmut Abels
  Geometric Evolution Equations related to Fluid Mechanics: On a Navier-Stokes/Mullins-Sekerka System ................................................................. 3097

Michael Holst
  Geometric pdes, finite element exterior calculus, adaptive methods, and applications in relativity ................................................................. 3099

Martin Rumpf (joint with Benedikt Wirth)
  Discrete geodesic calculus in shape space .................................................................................. 3100

Klaus Ecker
  Mean curvature evolution of hypersurfaces .................................................................................. 3103

Konrad Polthier (joint with Matthias Nieser, Ulrich Reitebuch)
  CubeCover - Cubical grids for bounded volumes ........................................................................ 3105

Oliver Sander
  Geodesic Finite Elements ........................................................................................................ 3106

Dietmar Kröner (joint with Gerd Dziuk, Thomas Müller)
  Conservation laws on moving surfaces .................................................................................. 3108

Andrea Bonito (joint with J. Manuel Cascón, Khamron Mekchay, Pedro Morin, Ricardo H. Nochetto)
  Adaptive Finite Element Methods for the Laplace-Beltrami Operator ........................................ 3112

Matteo Novaga (joint with Annalisa Cesaroni)
  Mean curvature flow in heterogeneous media ........................................................................ 3114
James Isenberg (joint with Sigurd Angenent, David Garfinkle, Dan Knopf)
Degenerate Neckpinches in Ricci flow: Numerics to Matched Asymptotics
to Theorems .......................................................... 3116

Sören Bartels
Finite element approximation of large bending isometries ............. 3119

Carsten Gräser (joint with Ralf Kornhuber, Uli Sack)
Convex minimization and phase field models .......................... 3122

Björn Stinner
H¹ Willmore flow with local area preservation .......................... 3125

Maurizio Paolini (joint with F. Pasquarelli, M. Bugatti)
Nonconvex anisotropy and the bidomain model .......................... 3128

Christian Lubich (joint with Gerhard Dziuk and Dhia Mansour)
Runge–Kutta time discretization of parabolic differential equations on
evolving surfaces ...................................................... 3131

Robert Nürnberg (joint with John W. Barrett, Harald Garcke)
Parametric approximation of elastic flow for curves and curve networks 3132

Hans Fritz (joint with Gerhard Dziuk)
Computation of Ricci curvature ....................................... 3135

Selim Esedoglu (joint with Felix Otto)
Threshold dynamics for arbitrary surface tensions ..................... 3138
Abstracts

Computing multiphase physics using the Voronoi Implicit Interface Method

James A. Sethian

(joint work with Robert I. Saye)

Many scientific and engineering problems are characterized by a large number of different regions touching in many different configurations, and whose interaction depends on complex physics. Examples include the motions of foams, crystal grain growth, and multicellular structures in man-made and biological materials, as well as mathematical and computational problems, such as geometric motion, domain decomposition and surface area minimization problems.

It is challenging to produce consistent and well-posed mathematical models that accurately model the hydrodynamic, elastic, diffusive, and transport processes that often characterize such motions. Building robust numerical schemes is equally daunting, especially in the presence of multi-junction points (triple points, quadruple points, etc.) in 2D and the analogous structures in 3D, including triple lines where multiple surfaces meet, etc.

In this talk, we discuss a new set of computational methodologies \cite{4, 5} to handle these problems. Our methods have several virtues. They use a single function on a fixed Eulerian mesh for an entire multiphase system, regardless of the number of phases, work in 2D/3D, and contain a real physical time that couples naturally to physics. The formulation automatically deals with the evolution of triple points/lines and topological change in the multiphase system, allowing phases to disappear and be created. The methods are first order accurate at triple points/lines, and arbitrarily high order away from these degeneracies. Finally, the methods have a computational complexity dependent only on the length of the interface.

Briefly, the method works as follows. Given a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we imagine a collection of “phases” such that each point $x$ in the domain is either in a unique phase, or on the boundary between two or more phases: this collection of boundaries will be known as the “interface”. We define $\phi(x)$ as the distance from $x$ to the closest point on the interface: this is the embedding of the interface as the zero level set of an unsigned distance function. We further assume that we are given a speed $F$ defined on the interface, and create an “extension velocity” which defines a speed function $F_{ext}(x)$ in all of the domain, see \cite{1}.

The key idea is to note that a comparison theory ensures that the level set corresponding to the interface is embedded in a family of nearby level sets, and the motion of the zero level set corresponding to the interface is thus bracketed by the motion of surrounding level sets. While the interface where multiple phases touch may have high order junctions such as triple points and triple lines, the $\epsilon$-level sets are hypersurfaces which exist solely in a single phase and do not contain such degeneracies.
We utilize this property to construct the VIIM. We first evolve the unsigned level set function according to the standard initial value PDE $\phi_t + F|\nabla \phi| = 0$ [3, 7]. Using the nearby $\epsilon$-level sets, we then find the Voronoi diagram, which we then define as the new interface. We then reconstruct the unsigned distance function from this Voronoi construction. By making use of Chopp’s bicubic reconstruction technique [6, 2], both the Voronoi construction and the rebuilding of the unsigned distance function can be done without ever explicitly constructing the interface.

Figure 1a shows the motion of a large number of connected phases undergoing curvature flow in which each phase maintains its own area while moving to minimize the total perimeter. Figure 1b/c shows multi-phase interactions under a large shear incompressible Navier-Stokes flow with surface tension and computed with and without permeability. Our methods are applicable across a range of multi-scale/multi-physics problems.

Figure 1. In all cases, time advances from left to right. (a) Curvature flow with area conservation on 100 initial random phases. (b) Navier-Stokes fluid flow simulation with an external agitator force and no permeability. (c) Navier-Stokes 3D fluid flow with surface tension and permeability (subset of phases shown).
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Crystalline curvature flow with spatially inhomogeneous driving force

Yoshikazu Giga

1. Background

A crystalline curvature flow is a typical anisotropic curvature flow whose interfacial energy is convex but singular. A typical example of anisotropic curvature equation is of form

\[ V = M(n)(\kappa_\gamma + \sigma) \text{ on } \Gamma_t \]

for a closed evolving hypersurface \( \{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), where \( V \) is the normal velocity in the direction of unit normal vector field \( n \) of \( \Gamma_t \). Here \( \kappa_\gamma \) is an anisotropic mean curvature with an interfacial energy density \( \gamma \); \( M(n) > 0 \) is a mobility while \( \sigma \) is a driving force term. The interfacial energy density \( \gamma \) is assumed to be a convex, positively 1-homogeneous function in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The anisotropic mean curvature is formally defined by

\[ \kappa_\gamma = -\text{div}_\Gamma \xi(n), \quad \xi(n) = \nabla_p \gamma(n), \]

where \( \text{div}_\Gamma \) is the surface divergence [9, Chapter 1].

We are interested in the case when \( \gamma \) is NOT \( C^1 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \) nor strictly convex in the sense \( \gamma^2 \) is strictly convex (which is equivalent to say that 1-level set of \( \gamma \) (Frank diagram) is strictly convex; see e.g. [9, Chapter 1].) A typical example of such an energy density is the case when \( \gamma \) is piecewise linear so that its Frank diagram is polytope. In this case the flow (1) is called a crystalline flow and the interfacial energy density \( \gamma \) is called a crystalline energy. When (1) is a planar motion, i.e. \( d = 2 \), then \( \kappa_\gamma \) is written as \( \kappa_\gamma = \left( \gamma''(\theta) + \gamma'(\theta) \right) \kappa \) with \( \gamma'(\theta) = \gamma(\cos \theta, \sin \theta), \ n = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \), where \( \kappa \) is the usual curvature. If \( \gamma \) is a crystalline interfacial energy, \( \gamma'' + \gamma = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_k \delta(\theta - \theta_k), \ c_k > 0 \). From a view point of partial differential equations the crystalline flow equation (1) is degenerate parabolic for \( \theta \neq \theta_k \) while the diffusion effect at \( \theta = \theta_k \) is so strong that it is nonlocal. Thus such an equation is often called very singular parabolic equations; see [7] for a review including higher order equations.

The notion of solutions so that its initial value problem is well-posed (i.e. solving \( \{\Gamma_t\} \) with given initial data \( \Gamma_0 \)) is highly nontrivial. There are at least three approaches.

1. (Finding a special class preserved by flow.) One restricts the class of solutions into a special class of polygonal motion which works well when \( d = 2 \) and \( \sigma \) is spatially constant for crystalline flow [1], [11]. However, it
is impossible to extend this idea for \( d \geq 3 \) so that a flow enjoys comparison principle \([3]\) although a similar motion for polyhedra itself is well-posed \([10]\). The merit of this approach is that the problem is reduced to a system of ordinary differential equations.

2. (Variational approach.) A conventional way is to apply a nonlinear semigroup theory which applies for the graph-like solutions for \( d = 2 \) when \( \sigma \equiv 0 \) \([4]\). Later, using distance function a notion of solution for \( d \geq 2 \) when \( \sigma \equiv 0 \) and \( M = \gamma \) is introduced so that a comparison principle is fulfilled. However, the existence of solution is known only for convex initial data; see \([2]\) and papers cited there. Here \( \gamma \) can be more general than crystalline.

3. (Viscosity approach.) One extends the theory of viscosity solutions for a nonlocal diffusion equation, which is highly nontrivial. Fortunately, for graph-like solutions such a theory is established in the case of planar motion when \( \sigma \) is spatially constant \([5]\). A level set method for a closed value is also established \([6]\). This approach is flexible in the sense that one can handle a more general flow than \((1)\) in the sense the curvature dependence of the right hand side may be nonlinear, say \( |\kappa_\gamma|^\alpha \kappa_\gamma, \alpha > 0 \). Moreover, \( \gamma \) can be more general than crystalline.

2. Feature of results

Jointly with Mi-Ho Giga (Tokyo) and Piotr Rybka (Warsaw) \([8]\) we are able to extend the theory of viscosity solutions for \( d = 2 \) and for graph-like solutions when \( \sigma \) is spatially inhomogeneous. A key issue is a comparison of curvature like quantity on a flat part called a facet as well as its stability with respect to a facet. Here is a typical example.

\( (2) \quad u_t = a(u_x) [(\text{sgn} u_x)_x + \sigma(x)], \ a > 0 \)

Some classes of crystalline curvature flow \((1)\) deduces \((2)\) when \( \Gamma_t = \{ y = u(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R} \} \). If \( u_x \neq 0 \), then \((2)\) is reduced to \( u_x = a(u_x)\sigma(x) \). At the place where \( u_x = 0 \) the solution \( u \) feels very strong diffusion. To motivate the speed we consider the profile \( v \) so that \( v \) takes its minimal value \( 0 \) on \((a, b)\) and \( v > 0 \) outside \((a, b)\). It turns out that the natural quantity for \( \Lambda = (\text{sgn} u_x)_x + \sigma(x) \) on \((a, b)\) is

\( (3) \quad \Lambda = \eta^0(x) + \sigma(x) \)

\( (4) \quad \eta^0(x) = \arg \min \left\{ \int_a^b |\eta_x + \sigma|^2dx \left| \eta(x) \right| \leq 1, \ \eta(a) = -1, \ \eta(b) = 1 \right\}. \)

The problem \((4)\) is an obstacle problem. If \( \sigma \) is constant, then the graph of \( \eta^0 \) is a straight line and its slope agrees with a crystalline curvature \( 2/(b-a) \). In this case \( \eta^0 \) is constant. However, this curvature like quantity \( \Lambda \) is not a constant in general so “a facet” may bend. Moreover, it is not a sum of a crystalline curvature and \( \sigma \). Nevertheless, we are able to establish a comparison principle for \( \Lambda \) and elaborating
a method developed by [5]. In particular, we are able to prove the comparison principle for suitably defined viscosity solutions.

Equations with singular diffusion are not restricted just for a crystalline flow in materials science. It is also popular as a total variation flow in image processing $u_t = \text{div}(\nabla u/|\nabla u|)$. Except one variable case a facet may break even if there is no inhomogeneous driving force term. In our ongoing project (jointly with Mi-Ho Giga and Norvert Pozar (Tokyo)) we are trying to build a theory of viscosity solutions including

$$u_t = a(\nabla u)\text{div}(\nabla u/|\nabla u|)$$

(5)

with $a \geq 0$ by defining a nonlocal speed in a reasonable way. Note that a nonlinear semigroup theory does not apply for (3) (unless $a$ is a constant) so other than our approach it was not clear that whether or not the initial value problem (3) is well-posed.
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Approximation of the Willmore flow of graphs by $C^1$–finite elements

KLAAUS DECKELNICK
(joint work with Friedhelm Schieweck)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary. For a smooth function $u : \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ we consider its graph $\Gamma = \{(x,u(x)) \mid x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$, whose mean curvature is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} (\delta_{ij} - \frac{u_{x_i} u_{x_j}}{1 + |\nabla u|^2}) u_{x_i, x_j}.$$ 

Hence, the Willmore functional $W(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} H^2 dS$ can be written in terms of $u$ as follows:

$$W(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} H^2 \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |E(\nabla u) : D^2u|^2 dx,$$

where the colon denotes the usual inner product for matrices and $E : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ is given by

$$E(p)_{ij} = \frac{1}{4} (1 + |p|^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_ip_j}{1 + |p|^2} \right), \quad i,j = 1,2, p \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$ 

We are interested in calculating local or global minima of $W$ subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions. To do so, we consider the evolution of a family of graphs $\Gamma(t) = \{(x,u(x,t)) \mid x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$ by the $L^2$–gradient flow of $W$, the so-called Willmore flow. Since the normal velocity of $\Gamma(t)$ is given by $V = \frac{u_t}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}}$ we obtain the following variational formulation for this evolution:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_t(t)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u(t)|^2}} dx + \langle W'(u(\cdot, t)), \phi \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega), t \in (0,T]$$

(2)

$$u(\cdot, t) = g, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(\cdot, t) = g_\nu \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T]$$

(3)

$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}.$$ 

(4)

Here, $g, g_\nu : \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and $u_0 : \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ are given functions. Note that

$$\langle W'(u), \phi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} E(\nabla u) : D^2 u \{ E(\nabla u) : D^2 \phi + \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_{x_k} E_{p_{x_k}}(\nabla u) : D^2 u \},$$

so that it follows from (2) that $u$ satisfies a highly nonlinear parabolic PDE of fourth order. We approximate solutions of (2), (3), (4) with the help of $C^1$–finite elements. Let $(T_h)_{h>0}$ be a sequence of exact triangulations of $\Omega$, so that boundary elements are allowed to have one curved edge. For $T \in T_h$ we consider the Hsieh-Clough-Tocher element

$$P(T) := \{ p \in C^1(T) \mid p|_{T_i} \in P_3(T_i), i = 1,2,3 \},$$
where $T$ is subdivided into three triangles $T_1, T_2, T_3$ by joining the barycenter of $T$ to its vertices. We emphasize that also for boundary elements $p_{|T}$ is a cubic polynomial in the original coordinates, so that we do not use the iso-parametric finite element concept. We now define $X_h \subset H^2(\Omega)$ by

$$X_h := \{ \phi_h \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) | \phi_h|_T \in P(T), T \in T_h \}.$$ 

Furthermore, the space $X_{0h}$ consists of those $\phi_h \in X_h$, for which the boundary degrees of freedom vanish, i.e if $e$ is a boundary edge with vertices $a_1, a_2$ and midpoint $b$ (with respect to arclength), we require that $\phi_h(a_i) = 0, \nabla \phi_h(a_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$ and $\frac{\partial \phi_h}{\partial \nu}(b) = 0$. Note that in general $X_{0h} \not\subset H^2_0(\Omega)$, so that it is crucial to estimate boundary integrals involving functions in $X_{0h}$. Such estimates have been derived in [5] even for more general $C^1$–finite element spaces.

The semi–discrete problem now reads: Find $u_h : \bar{\Omega} \times [0,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u_h(\cdot,t) \in X_h$ for all $t \in [0,T], u_h(\cdot,0) = u_{0h}$ in $\Omega$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{ht}(\cdot,t)\phi_h}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h(\cdot,t)|^2}} dx + \langle W'(u_h(\cdot,t)), \phi_h \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi_h \in X_{0h}, t \in (0,T].$$

Here, $u_{0h} \in X_h$ is a suitable approximation of the initial datum $u_0$. The boundary degrees of freedom $u_h(\cdot,t)$ are determined in terms of $g$ and $g_\nu$. Our main result is the following error estimate.

**Theorem** Suppose that $u : \bar{\Omega} \times [0,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth solution of (2), (3), (4). Then there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < h \leq h_0$ the semi–discrete solution $u_h$ exists on $\bar{\Omega} \times [0,T]$ and satisfies

$$\left( \int_0^T \|u_t - u_{ht}\|^2_{L^2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \max_{t \in [0,T]} \|(u - u_h)(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2} \leq Ch^2.$$ 

A corresponding analysis in the case of rotationally symmetric surfaces is given in [4]. Since the implementation of $C^1$–finite elements is rather complicated, much of the previous work on the discretisation of Willmore flow was carried out in the framework of $C^0$–elements. This is possible by splitting the fourth order problem into two second order problems via the introduction of a second variable which is usually related to the mean curvature. In the case of graphs and level sets evolving by Willmore flow such a method was developed in [6] and an error analysis for the flow of graphs subject to Navier boundary conditions can be found in [3]. Similar approaches using finite differences and a discontinuous Galerkin-method can be found in [8] and [10] respectively. Splitting methods for the evolution of parametric surfaces by Willmore flow and related geometric evolution laws have been developed in [7], [1], [2] and [9].
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Geometric evolution equations with triple junctions in higher dimensions

HARALD GARCKE
(joint work with Daniel Depner, Yoshihito Kohsaka)

Motion by mean curvature for evolving hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ is given by

$$V = H$$

where $V$ is the normal velocity and $H$ is the mean curvature of the evolving surface. Mean curvature flow for closed surfaces is the $L^2$-gradient flow of the area functional and many results for this flow have been established over the last 30 years.

Less is known for mean curvature flow of surfaces with boundaries. In the simplest cases one either prescribes fixed Dirichlet boundary data or one requires that surfaces meet a given fixed surface with a 90 degree angle. The last situation can be interpreted as the $L^2$-gradient flow of area taking the side constraint into account that the boundary of the surface has to lie on a given external surface. Local well posedness in this case was shown by Stahl [12] who was also able to formulate a continuation criterion. In addition he showed that surfaces converge asymptotically to a half sphere before they vanish.

Much less is known about the gradient flow dynamics for surface clusters. In this case hypersurfaces $\Gamma^1, \ldots, \Gamma^N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ with boundaries $\partial \Gamma^1, \ldots, \partial \Gamma^N$ meet at $(d-1)$-dimensional triple junctions, see e.g. Figure 1. Here, boundary conditions at the triple junction which can be derived variationally have to be described. In what follows we briefly discuss how to derive these boundary conditions. We define
the weighted surface free energy
\[ F(\Gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Gamma_i} \gamma_i d\mathcal{H}^d \]
for a given surface cluster \( \Gamma = (\Gamma^1, \ldots, \Gamma^N) \) (and constant surface energy density \( \gamma_i > 0 \)) and consider a given smooth vector field \( \zeta : \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \).

Then we can define a variation \( \Gamma(\varepsilon) \) of \( \Gamma \) in the direction \( \zeta \) via
\[ \Gamma_i(\varepsilon) = \{ x + \varepsilon \zeta(x) \mid x \in \Gamma_i \}. \]

A transport theorem now gives
\[ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_i} 1 d\mathcal{H}^d = -\int_{\Gamma_i} V^i H^i + \int_{\partial \Gamma_i} v^i, \]
where \( V^i \) is the normal velocity and \( H^i \) is the mean curvature of \( \Gamma^i \). In addition \( v^i \) is the outer conormal velocity of the surface (for details we refer to Depner and Garcke [6] and Depner [5]).

The complete first variation is now given by
\[ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} F(\Gamma(\varepsilon)) = \sum_i \int_{\Gamma_i(\varepsilon)} -\gamma_i V^i H^i d\mathcal{H}^d + \sum_i \int_{\partial \Gamma_i(\varepsilon)} \gamma_i v^i d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \]
and hence the \( L^2 \)-gradient flow is given by

\[ V^i = \gamma_i H^i \quad \text{on} \Gamma^i \quad \text{and} \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_i \tau^i = 0 \quad \text{at triple junctions}, \]

where \( \tau^i \) is the unit outer conormal of \( \partial \Gamma^i \). We remark that the last condition reduces to a \( 120^\circ \) angle condition in the case that all \( \gamma_i \)'s are equal.

Local well-posedness for curves in the plane has been shown by Bronsard and Reitich [4] in a \( C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \) setting using parabolic regularity theory and a fixed point argument (for a typical solution see Figure 2).
Mantegazza, Novaga and Tortorelli [10] were able to establish continuation criteria and Schnürer et al. [11] considered the asymptotic behaviour of lens-shaped geometries.

The higher dimensional situation is much more involved as the triple junction now is at least one-dimensional and a tangential degree of freedom arises. In addition all mathematical descriptions of the problem result in formulations which lead to a free boundary problem. Only recently Freire [8] was able to show local well-posedness in the case of graphs. Of course most situations cannot be represented as graphs. We use a new parametrization of surface clusters introduced in Depner and Garcke [6] to state the problem (1), (2) as a system of non-local, quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations of second order. The PDEs are defined on a surface cluster and are non-trivially coupled at the junctions. We are able to show the following result (for a precise formulation of the theorem we refer to [7]):

**Theorem** (Depner, Garcke, Kohsaka, 2011)

Let \((\Gamma_1^0, \Gamma_2^0, \Gamma_3^0)\) be a \(C^{3+\alpha}\) surface cluster with a \(C^{3+\alpha}\) triple junction curve \(\gamma\). We assume the compatibility conditions

- \((\Gamma_1^0, \Gamma_2^0, \Gamma_3^0)\) fulfill the angle conditions,
- \(H_1^0 + H_2^0 + H_3^0 = 0\).

Then there exists a local \(C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\) solution of

\[ V^i = H^i + \text{angle conditions}, \]

with initial data \((\Gamma_1^0, \Gamma_2^0, \Gamma_3^0)\).

The idea of the proof is as follows:

- Study the linearized problem with energy methods (this is nontrivial as the system is defined on a surface cluster).
- Show local \(C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\)-regularity of the solutions to the linearized problem.

In order to apply classical regularity theory close to the triple junction, we parametrize the cluster locally over one fixed reference domain and
check the Lopatinski-Shapiro condition for the resulting system on the flat reference domain with an energy argument.

- Use a fixed point argument in $C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, which is non-trivial as the overall system is non-local. In this context ideas of Baconneau and Lunardi [1] are useful.
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Evolution of the Einstein equations on constant mean curvature surfaces

Oliver Rinne

(joint work with Vincent Moncrief)

This report is concerned with the Einstein equations

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}R g_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab},$$

where $g_{ab}$ is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on a four-dimensional smooth manifold (spacetime), $R_{ab}$ is its Ricci tensor (with respect to the Levi-Civita connection), and $R = g^{ab}R_{ab}$ is the scalar curvature. On the right-hand side, $T_{ab}$ is the energy-momentum tensor describing the matter content of spacetime.
Here we are interested in solutions to (1) describing isolated systems: a compact source surrounded by an asymptotically flat vacuum spacetime. It is useful to introduce [1] a conformally related metric $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ via

$$g_{ab} = \Omega^{-2} \tilde{g}_{ab}. \tag{2}$$

In suitably compactified coordinates, spacetime occupies a finite region, $\tilde{g}_{ab}$ is finite everywhere with respect to these coordinates, and the conformal factor $\Omega$ vanishes on the conformal boundary. This can be illustrated by a Penrose diagram (Fig. 1).

The standard approach to solving (1) numerically is to foliate spacetime into spacelike hypersurfaces approaching spacelike infinity $i^0$ (left panel of Fig. 1). These are truncated at a finite distance, where suitable boundary conditions must be imposed such that the resulting initial-boundary value problem is well posed and, ideally, spurious reflections of gravitational radiation are avoided. However, gravitational radiation is only defined unambiguously at future null infinity $I^+$. Thus it would be very desirable to include $I^+$ in the computational domain. We do this by considering instead hyperboloidal slices that are everywhere spacelike but approach $I^+$ (right panel of Fig. 1). More specifically, we choose hypersurfaces that have constant mean curvature; in the following $K > 0$.

Throughout we work with the conformal metric in compactified coordinates. Instead of Friedrich’s regular conformal field equations [2], we work directly with (a $3+1$ reduction of) the Einstein equations, mainly because these are the equations that numerical relativists have more experience with. The drawback of this approach is that the equations contain terms with negative powers of the conformal factor $\Omega$ that become singular at $I^+$. In particular, the evolution equation for the traceless part of the momentum $\pi^{ij}$ conjugate to the induced spatial conformal metric $\gamma_{ij}$ on the $t =$ const slices takes the form

$$\partial_t \pi^{tr ij} = -2\bar{N} \Omega^{-1} (\frac{1}{3} K \pi^{tr ij} + \mu_{ij} \text{Hess} \Omega^{tr ij}) + (\text{regular}), \tag{3}$$

where $\text{tr}$ denotes the tracefree part with respect to $\gamma_{ij}$, $\bar{N}$ is the conformal lapse function, $\mu_i = \sqrt{\det \gamma_{ij}}$, and Hess denotes the Hessian with respect to $\gamma_{ij}$. The constraint equations that hold within the $t =$ const slices are also formally singular at $I^+$. For instance, the Hamiltonian constraint reads

$$-4\Omega \gamma^{ij} \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j \Omega + 6\gamma^{ij} \Omega \gamma_{ij} - \Omega^2 \bar{R} - \frac{2}{3} K^2 + \Omega^2 \mu_{ij} \gamma^{ij} \pi^{tr ij} \pi^{tr kl} = 0, \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the covariant derivative of $\gamma_{ij}$ and $\bar{R}$ is its Ricci scalar. This singular form of the elliptic constraint equations works in our favour here because we can use it in order to determine the leading-order behaviour of the fields near $I^+$. This will then allow us to evaluate the formally singular terms in (3).

On a given spatial slice, we choose coordinates such that the conformal boundary is given by $x^1 \equiv r = r_+$, where $r_+$ is a constant. We expand the fields in finite Taylor series about $r = r_+$ and substitute the expansions in the singular elliptic equations. For example, the Hamiltonian constraint (4) yields expressions for the first three radial derivatives of $\Omega$ at $I^+$. A similar procedure is applied to the
momentum constraints. Using this method we obtain manifestly regular expressions for the formally singular terms in (3). We also recover regularity conditions previously derived in [3], namely that the shear and the components $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ of the traceless momentum must vanish at $I^+$, and we show that these conditions are preserved under the time evolution. Further details can be found in [4].

A similar scheme to the one described above has been implemented numerically [5] under the assumption that spacetime is axisymmetric so that there are two effective spatial dimensions. The spatial coordinates are chosen such that the two-metric takes on a conformally flat form (quasi-isotropic gauge). The numerical method consists of fourth-order finite differences on a spherical polar grid, the method of lines with a fourth-order Runge-Kutta method for the time integration, and multigrid (FAS) for the elliptic equations, which are solved at each substep of the Runge-Kutta scheme. The regularised form of the evolution equations is used at the outermost grid point at $I^+$. As a first test problem, we consider a Schwarzschild black hole. The metric on constant mean curvature hypersurfaces was first derived in [6]. We evolved this spacetime for (at least) $10^3$ times the mass of the black hole without any signs of instabilities. The difference between the numerical and the exact solution as well as the residual of the momentum constraints show approximate fourth-order convergence.

Figure 1. Cauchy evolution with timelike boundary (left) vs. hyperboloidal evolution (right). As an example, the Penrose diagram of flat (Minkowski) spacetime is shown. The conformal boundary consists of future and past null infinity ($I^+$ and $I^-$), future and past timelike infinity ($i^+$ and $i^-$), and spacelike infinity $i^0$. 
The quasi-normal mode radiation emitted by the perturbed black hole is clearly visible. The frequency is in agreement with linear perturbation theory. (However we stress that the numerical simulation uses the full nonlinear Einstein equations.) Currently the numerical resolution is insufficient to resolve the power-law decay (‘tail’) expected at later times. The accuracy and efficiency of the numerical method needs to be improved; this will be the subject of future work.

Next we include a gravitational wave perturbation. The Bondi news function [7], an invariant measure of gravitational radiation, is extracted at $\mathcal{I}^+$ (Fig. 2). The quasi-normal mode radiation emitted by the perturbed black hole is clearly visible. The frequency is in agreement with linear perturbation theory. (However we stress that the numerical simulation uses the full nonlinear Einstein equations.) Currently the numerical resolution is insufficient to resolve the power-law decay (‘tail’) expected at later times. The accuracy and efficiency of the numerical method needs to be improved; this will be the subject of future work.

**References**

Geometric Partial Differential Equations 3097

Geometric Evolution Equations related to Fluid Mechanics: On a Navier-Stokes/Mullins-Sekerka System

Helmut Abels

We consider the flow of two incompressible, viscous and (macroscopically) immiscible fluids inside a bounded, sufficiently smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3$, without contact angles. In classical sharp interface models the fluids, filling domains $\Omega^+(t)$ and $\Omega^-(t)$ for $t > 0$, are separated by a common interface $\Gamma(t)$ that is an $(n-1)$-dimensional surface. Surface tension effects are usually modeled with the aid of the Young-Laplace law

$$-\nu_{\Gamma(t)} \cdot [T(v, p)] = \sigma H \nu_{\Gamma(t)} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0,$$

where $[]$ denotes the jump of a quantity across the interface in direction of $\nu_{\Gamma(t)}$, i.e.,

$$[f](x) = \lim_{h \to 0} (f(x + h\nu_{\Gamma(t)}) - f(x - h\nu_{\Gamma(t)})) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Gamma(t).$$

Here the flow is described in terms of the velocity $v: (0, \infty) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and the pressure $p: (0, \infty) \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ in both fluids in Eulerian coordinates. We assume the fluids to be of Newtonian type, i.e., the stress tensors of the fluids are of the form $T(v, p) = \mu^\pm Dv - pI$ in $\Omega^\pm(t)$ with constant viscosities $\mu^\pm > 0$ and $2Dv = \nabla v + \nabla v^T$. Furthermore, we denote by $\nu_{\Gamma(t)}$ the unit normal of $\Gamma(t)$ that points outside $\Omega^+(t)$, by $V$ and $H$ the normal velocity and scalar mean curvature of $\Gamma(t)$ with respect to $\nu_{\Gamma(t)}$, and $\sigma$ is a constant surface tension coefficient.

In classical models (without phase transitions) the interface $\Gamma(t)$ is usually material, i.e., it is transported by the bulk velocities:

$$V = \nu_{\Gamma(t)} \cdot v|_{\Gamma(t)} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0.$$

But in some situations diffusional effects play a role and the interface is no longer material. E.g. in certain polymer mixtures spinodal decomposition can occur, which is diffusion driven, cf. [10]. The following non-classical model is capable to describe such situations.

The considered model leads to the following system of Navier-Stokes/Mullins-Sekerka type:

1. $\partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v - \text{div} T(v, p) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\pm(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0,$
2. $\text{div} v = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\pm(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0,$
3. $m \Delta \mu = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\pm(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0,$
4. $-\nu_{\Gamma(t)} \cdot [T(v, p)] = \sigma H \nu_{\Gamma(t)} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0,$
5. $V - \nu_{\Gamma(t)} \cdot v|_{\Gamma(t)} = -m \nu_{\Gamma(t)} \cdot \nabla \mu \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0,$
6. $\mu|_{\Gamma(t)} = \sigma H \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0.$
We close the system by adding the initial and boundary conditions

\begin{align}
(7) \quad v|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \text{ for } t > 0, \\
(8) \quad \nu_{\Omega} \cdot m \nabla \mu|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \text{ for } t > 0, \\
(9) \quad \Omega^+(0) &= \Omega^+_0, \\
(10) \quad v|_{t=0} &= v_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\end{align}

where \( v_0, \Omega^+_0 \) are given initial data satisfying \( \partial \Omega^+_0 \cap \partial \Omega = \emptyset \) and where \( m > 0 \) is a mobility constant. Here it is assumed that \( v, \mu \) do not jump across \( \Gamma(t) \), i.e.,

\[ [v] = [\mu] = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma(t) \text{ for } t > 0. \]

Equations (1)-(2) describe the conservation of linear momentum and mass in both fluids and (4) is the balance of forces at the boundary. The equations for \( v \) are complemented by the non-slip condition (7) at the boundary of \( \Omega \). The conditions (3), (8) describe together with (5) a continuity equation for the masses of the phases, and (6) relates the chemical potential \( \mu \) to the \( L^2 \)-gradient of the surface area, which is given by the mean curvature of the interface. Here the densities of the fluids are assumed to be the same and for simplicity set to one.

We note that (1)-(10) appears as a sharp interface limit of the following diffuse interface model, introduced by Hohenberg and Halperin [7] and rigorously derived by Gurtin et al. [6]:

\begin{align}
(11) \quad \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v - \text{div}(\nu(c)Dv) + \nabla p &= -\varepsilon \text{div}(\nabla c \otimes \nabla c) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
(12) \quad \text{div } v &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
(13) \quad \partial_t c + v \cdot \nabla c &= m \Delta \mu \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
(14) \quad \mu &= \varepsilon^{-1} f'(c) - \varepsilon \Delta c \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
(15) \quad v|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
(16) \quad \partial_n c|_{\partial \Omega} &= \partial_n \mu|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
(17) \quad (v, c)|_{t=0} &= (v_0, c_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega.
\end{align}

Here \( c \) is the concentration of one of the fluids, where we note that a partial mixing of both fluids is assumed in the model, and \( f \) is a suitable “double-well potential” e.g.

\[ f(c) = c^2(1-c^2). \]

Moreover, \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a small parameter related to the interface thickness, \( \mu \) is the so-called chemical potential and \( m > 0 \) is the mobility. We refer to [1, 4] for some analytic results. For some results on the sharp interface limit of (11)-(17) we refer to A. and Röger [3, Appendix] and A., Garcke, and Grün [2]. Existence of weak solutions of (1)-(10) was proved in [3].

In a joint-work with Mathias Wilke we prove existence of strong solutions of (1)-(10) locally in time. To this end we first prove existence of a unique strong solutions of the Navier-Stoke system (1), (2), (4), (7), (10) for a given interface \( \Gamma(t) \) and sufficiently small times. This is done with the aid of a coordinate transformation to the initial domains \( \Omega^{\pm}_0 \) and an application of a contraction argument using maximal regularity of the linearized system in \( L^2 \)-Sobolev spaces for \( v \) of second order in space and first order in time. Then the coupled system is solved by using
the so-called Hanzawa transformation to transform the Mullins-Sekerka part (3), (5), (6), (8), (9) to an evolution equation for a height function \( h: \Sigma \times (0,T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \). The coupling to the Navier-Stokes systems yields a new term, which is non-local in space and time, but of lower order. Therefore for small times the principal part of the Mullins-Sekerka equation dominates this term and existence of strong solutions is proved in a similar manner as in the case of a single Mullins-Sekerka equation, cf. Escher and Simonett [5] or Köhne et al. [8]. We note that we construct the height function \( h \) in the space \( L^p(0,T;W^{4-\frac{2}{p}}_p(\Sigma)) \cap W^{1}_{p}(0,T;W^{4-\frac{2}{p}}_p(\Sigma)) \) for a suitable \( p > 3 \), while the velocity \( v \) is in an \( L^2 \)-Sobolev space. Since the coupling to the Navier-Stokes system is of lower order, an \( L^2 \)-theory is sufficient for the Navier-Stokes part. Finally, we show stability of equilibria, which consist of a vanishing velocity and spherical interfaces. This is done by adopting the arguments of the proof the generalized principle of linearized stability of Prüss et al. [9] to the present situation.
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Geometric pdes, finite element exterior calculus, adaptive methods, and applications in relativity

Michael Holst

We examine the theory and numerical treatment of coupled nonlinear elliptic geometric PDE containing critical exponents. A motivating example is the conformal formulation of the Einstein equations. We first outline some new results for existence of solutions to the constrained equations for rough metrics and arbitrarily
prescribed mean extrinsic curvature. We then develop some new a priori error estimates for Galerkin finite element approximation; the estimates have the surprising feature that no angle conditions are involved in the case of critical and subcritical nonlinearity. Moreover, it then becomes possible to turn the a priori estimates into pointwise control of the discrete solutions, without the need for a discrete maximum principle, and hence again without the need for angle conditions. We then describe a new approach to analyzing the geometric error made if the domain is a smooth Riemannian manifold rather than a polyhedral domain. The approach involves the development of variational crimes analysis in Hilbert complexes, and we subsequently use this abstract framework to develop analogues of the Strang Lemmas for the finite element exterior calculus (FEEC). We show how new variational crimes framework in FEEC completely recovers the classical a priori surface finite element estimates of Dziuk and Demlow, and further generalizes their results to hypersurfaces of arbitrary spatial dimension, to the Hodge Laplacian, and to nonlinear problems involving arbitrary order differential forms.

**Discrete geodesic calculus in shape space**

**Martin Rumpf**

(joint work with Benedikt Wirth)

We develop a time discrete calculus on the infinite dimensional Riemannian manifold of volumetric objects with a metric which reflects the viscous dissipation caused by a deformation of the fluid like objects. The approach is based on a local approximation of the squared Riemannian distance by a computationally cheap energy functional, whose Hessian reproduces the underlying Riemannian metric. This is used to define length and energy of discrete paths in shape space. The notion of discrete geodesics defined as energy minimizing paths gives rise to a discrete logarithmic map, a variational definition of a discrete exponential map, and a time discrete parallel transport. Applications are shown for topology preserving shape morphing, the representation of paths in shape space via local shape variations as path generators, shape extrapolation via discrete geodesic flow, and the transfer of geometric features.

**Shape space as a Riemannian manifold.** Geodesic paths in shape space allow to define smooth and in some sense geometrically or physically natural connecting paths \(O(t), t \in [0, 1]\), between two given shapes \(O(0), O(1)\), or they enable the extrapolation of a path from an initial shape \(O(0)\) and an initial shape variation \(\delta O\) which encodes the path direction. As locally length minimizing paths, geodesic paths require to endow the space of shapes with a Riemannian metric which encodes the preferred shape variations. There is a rich diversity of Riemannian shape spaces in the literature. Kilian et al compute isometry invariant geodesics between consistently triangulated surfaces [5], where the Riemannian metric measures stretching of triangle edges. A morphing approach based on the concept
of optimal mass transport has been proposed by Haker et al [3, 7]. Dupuis et al employ a metric \( G(v, v) = \int_D Lv \cdot v \, dx \) with a higher order elliptic operator \( L \) on some computational domain \( D \) [1] ensuring a diffeomorphism property of geodesic paths. Fuchs et al propose a viscous-fluid based Riemannian metric [2]. Preliminary results on the time discrete geodesic calculus have been presented by Wirth et al [6].

The space of viscous-fluid objects. Let us introduce the space \( \mathcal{M} \) of shapes as the set of volumetric objects \( \mathcal{O} \). A smooth path \( (\mathcal{O}(t))_{t \in [0,1]} \) in this shape space is associated with a smooth family \( (\phi(t))_{t \in [0,1]} \) of deformations. To measure the distance between two objects a Riemannian metric

\[
G_{\mathcal{O}}(v, v) = \min \{ \tilde{v} \mid \tilde{v} \cdot n = v \cdot n \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{O} \} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \text{diss}(\nabla \tilde{v}(x)) \, dx.
\]

is defined on velocity fields \( v \) on \( \partial \mathcal{O} \), where \( \text{diss}(\nabla v) = \lambda (\text{tr}[\epsilon[v]])^2 + 2\mu \text{tr}(\epsilon[v]^2) \) for \( \epsilon[v] := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla v + \nabla v^T) \) reflects the internal fluid friction—called dissipation—that occurs while the object is deformed. Then, the path energy \( E \) is defined as

\[
E[(\mathcal{O}(t))_{t \in [0,1]}] = \int_0^1 G_{\mathcal{O}(t)}(v(t), v(t)) \, dt.
\]

Paths which (locally) minimize the energy \( E \) are geodesics. A geodesic thus mimics the energetically optimal way to continuously deform a fluid volume into a different volume.

Approximating the distance and discrete geodesics. We use an efficient and robust time discrete approximation based on an polyconvex energy functional \( W \) which locally behaves like the squared Riemannian distance with

\[
\text{dist}^2(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}) = \min_{\psi(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}} W_{\mathcal{O}}[\psi] + O(\text{dist}^3(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})).
\]

Given this approximation, we are in a position to define a discrete path energy

\[
E[(\mathcal{O}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_K)] = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^K W_{\mathcal{O}_{k-1}}[\psi_k],
\]

on a discrete path \( (\mathcal{O}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_K) \) where \( \mathcal{O}_k \approx \mathcal{O}(t_k) \) with \( t_k = k\tau \) for \( k = 0, \ldots, K \) (\( \tau = \frac{1}{K} \)). Here, \( \psi_k = \arg\min_{\psi(\mathcal{O}_{k-1}) = \mathcal{O}_k} W_{\mathcal{O}_{k-1}}[\psi] \). A discrete geodesic (of order \( K \)) is now defined as a minimizer of \( E[(\mathcal{O}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_K)] \) for fixed end points \( \mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_K \).

Discrete logarithm and discrete exponential map. Let \( (\mathcal{O}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_K) \) be a discrete geodesic between \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0 \) and \( \bar{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}_K \) with an associated sequence of optimal matching deformations \( \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_K \), then we define the discrete \( \frac{1}{K} \) logarithm as

\[
\left( \frac{1}{K} \text{LOG}_{\mathcal{O}} \right)_{\psi_k} (\bar{\mathcal{O}}) := \zeta_k
\]
for the displacement \( \zeta_1(x) = \psi_1(x) - x \). Furthermore, we define the discrete power \( k \) exponential map \( \text{EXP}^k \) as an approximation of \( \exp(k \cdot \cdot) \) via

\[
\text{EXP}^1_\odot(\zeta) := \left( \frac{1}{1} \log \right)^{-1}_\odot(\zeta), \\
\text{EXP}^2_\odot(\zeta) := \left( \frac{1}{1} \log \right)^{-1}_\odot(\zeta), \\
\text{EXP}^k_\odot(\zeta) := \text{EXP}^2_\odot(\zeta_{k-1})
\]

with \( \zeta_{k-1} := \left( \frac{1}{1} \log \right)^{-1}_\odot \text{EXP}^{k-2}_\odot(\zeta) \). Experimentally we observe the following convergence behaviour

\[
k \left( \frac{1}{k} \log \right) \odot (\tilde{\zeta}) \to \log_{\odot}(\tilde{\zeta}) \\
\text{EXP}^k_\odot \left( \frac{1}{k} \zeta \right) \to \exp_{\odot}(\zeta)
\]

for \( k \to \infty \).

Discrete parallel transport. There is a well-known first-order approximation of parallel transport called Schild’s ladder [4], which is based on the construction of a sequence of geodesic parallelograms where the two diagonal geodesics always meet at their midpoints. Using the above defined discrete logarithm and discrete exponential map, we obtain the following scheme to compute the time discrete parallel transport of a displacement \( \zeta_{k-1} \) along the edge from \( \odot_{k-1} \) to \( \odot_k \)

\[
\odot_{k-1}^p = \text{EXP}^1_{\odot_{k-1}} \zeta_{k-1}, \\
\odot_k^* = \text{EXP}^1_{\odot_{k-1}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \right) \odot_{k-1}^p \left( \odot_k \right) \right), \\
\odot_k^p = \text{EXP}^2_{\odot_{k-1}} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \right) \odot_{k-1}^* \left( \odot_k^p \right) \right), \\
\zeta_k = \left( \frac{1}{1} \log \right) \odot \left( \odot_k^p \right),
\]

where \( \zeta_k \) is the transported displacement on \( \odot_k \). Here, \( \odot_k^* \) is the midpoint of the two geodesics with end points \( \odot_{k-1}^p, \odot_k \) and \( \odot_{k-1}, \odot_k^p \), respectively.

Remark: As in the continuous case, the discrete parallel transport can be used to define a discrete Levi-Civita connection.

References

Mean curvature evolution of hypersurfaces
KLAUS ECKER

In this talk, we reviewed joint results with Gerhard Huisken ([1], [2]) on the mean curvature evolution of hypersurfaces of entire graphs, that is solutions $u(\cdot, t)$ of the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right)$$

on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$, $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $T \in (0, \infty]$.

It turns out that, in contrast to the analogous problem for the standard heat equation, there always exists a smooth solution for all positive times as long as $u_0$ is locally Lipschitz (for instance $u_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is sufficient). In particular, no growth assumption on $u_0$ or a prescription of the growth class of the solution is necessary, see [2].

Some of the techniques include maximum principle arguments of the following form: If $M_t$ denotes the evolving hypersurface and $\nabla^{M_t}$ and $\Delta_{M_t}$ its tangential gradient and Laplace-Beltrami operator respectively, and if we consider a function $f$ on $M_t$ (which is allowed to depend on time explicitly) which is smooth for $t \in (0, T]$ and continuous up to $t = 0$ and which satisfies an inequality of the form

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} - \Delta_{M_t} \right) f \leq a \cdot \nabla^{M_t} f$$

for all $t \in (0, T]$ for some vectorfield $a$ on $M_t$ (here $d/dt$ denotes the total time derivative) then in many situations we may conclude that

$$\sup_{M_t} f \leq \sup_{M_0} f$$

for all times $t \in [0, T]$ for which the vectorfield $a$ is well-defined.

For compact solutions of mean curvature flow, the maximum principle follows from an easy calculus argument, but using more involved methods it can also be shown to hold on non-compact solutions such as solutions of our above Cauchy problem if the latter satisfy certain additional conditions, see [1], [2]. If such conditions are not satisfied one can instead employ a localized version of the maximum principle, but for this to work the function $f$ above would have to satisfy a more restrictive inequality than (1). These conditions, however, can be shown to hold for interesting functions $f$ from which the necessary geometric information about our solution can be gleaned, see [2].
Here are some examples of useful geometric functions $f$ satisfying (1) taken from [1]: Let $\nu$ denote the upper normal to our evolving graphs and consider the function

$$ v = \frac{1}{\nu \cdot e_{n+1}} $$

($e_{n+1}$ is the unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to which the solution is written as a graph. The quantity $v$ is well-defined as long as the solution is graphical. Moreover, up to tangential diffeomorphisms, it agrees with the expression $\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$. It also satisfies the inequality $v \geq 1$ which will be used in a little while. A bound on $v$ would yield a gradient bound for our solution. A direct geometric calculation using the non-graphical version of the equation for mean curvature flow given by

$$ \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} = -H\nu, $$

where $H$ denotes the mean curvature of the evolving hypersurfaces $M_t$, implies that $f = v$ satisfies (1) with $a = 0$. Therefore we conclude from (2) that

$$ \sup_{M_t} v \leq \sup_{M_0} v $$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, that is if initially the gradient is bounded it is bounded at later times by the same constant. This estimate is one of the key steps in establishing longtime existence of a solution for the above Cauchy problem, at least in the case of linearly growing initial data.

A more complicated function is given by $f = 2t|A|^2 v^2 + v^2$ where $|A|^2$ denotes the squared norm of the second fundamental form of the solution (which in the presence of a gradient bound is comparable to the square of the Hessian of $u$). A more involved calculation combined with some analytic estimation tricks shows that also this $f$ satisfies (1), in this case with

$$ a = -\frac{2}{v} \nabla M_t v $$

which is bounded by $2|A|v$. If we now again assume that $\sup_{M_0} v \leq c_0 < \infty$, inequality (2) implies that

$$ \sup_{M_t} (2t|A|^2 v^2 + v^2) \leq c_0^2 $$

for all $t \geq 0$ from which we conclude that $|A|^2$ has to decay to zero for time to infinity if we already know that the solution exists for all time.

Note that the maximum principle is applicable to this particular $f$ despite the dependence of $a$ on $f$. We are more or less saying that if $f$ is not already infinitely large than it is controlled by its initial supremum which then in turn implies that it can never become infinitely large.
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CubeCover - Cubical grids for bounded volumes

Konrad Polthier
(joint work with Matthias Nieser, Ulrich Reitebuch)

We discuss novel techniques to fill a bounded volumetric shape with a (preferably coarse) cubical voxel structure. Among the optimization goals are alignment of the voxels with the bounding surface as well as simplicity of the voxel grid. Mathematical analysis of the possible singularities is given.

The algorithm is uses a tetrahedral volume mesh plus a user given guiding frame field as input. Then it constructs an atlas of chart functions, i.e. the parameterization function of the volume, such that the images of the coordinate lines align with the given frame field. Formally the function is given by a first-order PDE, namely the gradients of the coordinate functions are the vectors of the frame. In a first step, the algorithm uses a discrete Hodge decomposition to assure local integrability of the frame field. A subsequent step assures global integrability along generators of the first homology group and alignment a face of the boundary cube with the original surface boundary. All steps can be merged into solving linear equations.

The figure below shows a cubified CAD model (rockerarm) obtained from an original tetrahedral volume mesh. Conceptually the presented CubeCover-algorithm extends the known QuadCover-algorithm from surface meshes to volumes meshes.
Geodesic Finite Elements

OLIVER SANDER

We consider partial differential equations for functions

\[ f : \Omega \rightarrow M, \]

where \( \Omega \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( M \) a nonlinear Riemannian manifold. Such problems cannot be discretized using finite elements, because the standard definition of finite element functions presupposes a vector space structure on \( M \). Instances of such problems are, for example, the simulation of liquid crystals [2] (with \( M = S^2, \mathbb{R}P^2 \), or \( \text{SO}(3) \)), or the numerical treatment of Cosserat materials [5] (with \( M = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \text{SO}(3) \)).

Various ways have been proposed in the literature to discretize problems for functions with values in a manifold \( M \). We would like to mention the approach of Bartels and Prohl [1], who used an embedding of \( M \) in a Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^m \). The values are interpolated in \( \mathbb{R}^m \), and only vertex values are constrained to be on \( M \). While this is simple, and in many cases cheap and even objective, the result depends on the embedding.

In [6] we have introduced geodesic finite elements as a conforming finite element discretization for partial differential equations for functions with values in a Riemannian manifold \( M \). In the present contribution we explain the idea and then generalize it to obtain finite elements of higher order. This paves the way for advanced discretization methods like \( hp \) - and \( DG \)-methods, and for hierarchical error estimators.

Let \( \Delta \) be the \( d \)-dimensional reference simplex, with coordinates \( \xi \) and barycentric coordinates \( w = w(\xi) \). In [6], the following generalization of linear interpolation was introduced.

**Definition 1.** Let \( M \) be a connected Riemannian manifold and \( \text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot) : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) a distance metric on \( M \). For a set of values \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{d+1}) \in M^{d+1} \) at the simplex corners we call

\[
\Upsilon : M^{d+1} \times \Delta \rightarrow M
\]

\[
\Upsilon(v, \xi) = \arg\min_{q \in M} \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} w_i(\xi) \text{dist}(v, q)^2
\]

geodesic interpolation on \( M \).

This definition is motivated by the corresponding formula for linear spaces. Indeed, if \( M = \mathbb{R} \), then (1) reduces to linear interpolation.
Unlike for linear spaces, it is not clear, however, whether (1) always has a unique solution. Existence and uniqueness of a minimizer can be obtained if the values \( v_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \) are “close together” in a certain sense. The precise conditions have been given by Karcher [4].

**Theorem 1** (Karcher [4]). Let \( M \) be complete, \( B_\rho \) an open geodesic ball of radius \( \rho \) in \( M \), and \( v_1, \ldots, v_{d+1} \in M \). Assume that \( v_i \in B_\rho \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, d+1 \).

1. If the sectional curvatures of \( M \) in \( B_\rho \) are bounded by a positive constant \( K \), and \( \rho < \frac{1}{4\pi} K^{-1/2} \), then the function
   \[
   f_{v,w}(q) := \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} w_i \text{dist}(v_i, q)^2
   \]
   has a unique minimizer in \( B_\rho \) for all \( w \in \Delta \).

2. If the sectional curvatures of \( M \) in \( B_\rho \) are at most \( 0 \), then \( f_{v,w} \) has a unique minimizer in \( B_\rho \) for all \( w \in \Delta \).

The requirement for the \( v_i \) to be “close together” for \( \Upsilon \) to be well-defined is not a serious restriction in a finite element context. There, many properties are expected to hold on sufficiently fine grids only, anyways. In [6] it is shown that the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled if the grid is fine enough.

The interpolation procedure given by Definition 1 has various desirable properties. In particular, it is infinitely differentiable with respect to \( \xi \) and the \( v_i \), [6, Thm. 2.2], and equivariant under isometries of \( M \), [6, Lem. 2.6]. It can therefore be used to construct finite element functions for simplicial grids \( G \) of \( \Omega \). The resulting discretizations are conforming in the sense that such finite element functions are elements of the Sobolev space \( H^1(\Omega, M) \).

To obtain generalizations for higher-order interpolation formulas, let \( \{ \varphi^p_i, i = 1, \ldots, m \} \) be the \( p \)-th-order Lagrangian shape functions on \( \Delta \), and let \( v_i, i = 1, \ldots, m \) be values at the Lagrange nodes. In a linear space \( p \)-th order interpolation is then given by
\[
\Upsilon^p(v, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi^p_i(\xi) v_i.
\]
To generalize this to functions with values in a Riemannian manifold \( \Upsilon \) we try to write it as a minimization problem. This is surprisingly easy; we obtain the following definition, which, incidentally, also works for non-simplex reference elements.

**Definition 2.** Let \( M \) be a connected Riemannian manifold and \( \text{dist}(\cdot, \cdot) : M \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) a distance metric on \( M \). Let \( \{ \varphi^p_i, i = 1, \ldots, m \} \) be a set of \( p \)-th order scalar Lagrangian shape functions, and let \( v_i \in M, i = 1, \ldots, m \) be values at the corresponding Lagrange nodes. We call
\[
\Upsilon^p : M^m \times \Delta \to M
\]
\[
\Upsilon^p(v_1, \ldots, v_m; \xi) = \arg \min_{q \in M} \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi^p_i(\xi) \text{dist}(v_i, q)^2
\]

\[1\] Recently we learned of the work of Philipp Grohs [3], who independently came up with the same approach to higher-order interpolation in nonlinear spaces.
\textit{p-th order geodesic interpolation on } \mathcal{M}.

Obviously, this construction produces an interpolation function of the values \(v_i\). Also, it comprises the previous Definition 1 for the first-order case, because we have \(w_i(\xi) = \varphi^1_i(\xi)\) for all \(\xi \in \Delta\) and \(i = 1, \ldots, 3\).

The well-posedness again needs consideration. Karcher’s proof of Theorem 1 does not cover Definition 2, because the interpolation weights \(\varphi^p_i\) can be negative. It is nevertheless conjectured that a weaker form of the theorem does hold.

On the other hand, further properties like differentiability of \(\Upsilon^p\), and its equivariance under isometries of \(\mathcal{M}\) can be proved just like in the first-order case. The higher-order interpolation formula can therefore be used as the basis of a theory of conforming finite elements. First numerical tests show that optimal discretization error behavior can be obtained.
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\textbf{Conservation laws on moving surfaces}

\textbf{DIETMAR KRÖNER}

(joint work with Gerd Dziuk, Thomas Müller)

Several phenomena like relativistic flows, transport processes on surfaces, transport of oil on the waves of the ocean or the transport of species on moving interfaces between two fluid layers are modeled by transport equations on fixed or moving surfaces. Here we consider the following situation.

\textbf{Assumptions 0.1.} Let \(\Gamma_t = \Gamma(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) for \(t \in [0, T]\) be a time dependent, compact, closed, smooth hypersurface. The initial surface \(\Gamma_0\) is transported by the smooth function \(\Phi : \Gamma_0 \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) with \(\Phi(\Gamma_0, t) = \Gamma_t\) and \(\Phi(\cdot, 0) = \text{Id}\). We assume that \(\Phi(\cdot, t) : \Gamma_0 \to \Gamma(t)\) is a diffeomorphism for every \(t \in [0, T]\). The velocity of the material points is denoted by \(v := \partial_t \Phi \circ \Phi^{-1}\). Let \(f = f(x, t, u)\) be a flux function which is a family of vector fields such that \(f(x, t, u)\) is a tangent vector to the surface \(\Gamma_t\) for \(x \in \Gamma_t, t \in [0, T]\) and \(u \in \mathbb{R}\). We assume that \(\nabla_{\Gamma^*} f(\cdot, t, s) = 0\) for all fixed \(t \in \mathbb{R}^+, s \in \mathbb{R}\). The definition of \(\nabla_{\Gamma^*}\) is given below.

Now we consider the following initial value problem for \(u(\cdot, t) : \Gamma_t \to \mathbb{R}\).
\begin{equation}
\dot{u} + u \nabla \cdot v + \nabla \cdot f(., u) = 0 \quad \text{on } G_T := \cup_t \Gamma(t) \times \{t\}
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
u = u_0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times \{0\}.
\end{equation}

The derivatives \( \dot{g} \) and \( \nabla \Gamma g \) are defined as follows.

\[
\nabla \Gamma g = \nabla g - \nabla g \cdot \nu \nu \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t,
\]
\[
\dot{g} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla g \quad \text{on } G_T,
\]
where \( \nu \) is the normal to \( \Gamma_t \). The aim of this contribution is to present the ideas of the proof for existence and uniqueness of an entropy solution of (1), (2), to develop a numerical scheme and to show some numerical experiments.

Let us briefly summarize the published results related to this topic. Total variation estimates for finite volume schemes on time independent Riemannian manifolds can be found in [1], [6], an existence proof of entropy solutions on time independent Riemannian manifolds is shown in [2], a finite volume scheme for conservation laws on a time independent Riemannian manifold including error estimates is presented in [8]. A wave propagation algorithm for hyperbolic systems on manifolds with applications in relativistic hydrodynamics and magnetohydrodynamics has been developed in [10], and finite volume schemes on spherical domains, partially with adaptive grid refinement in [3]. Finite element schemes for diffusion problems on moving surfaces has been studied in [5] and finite volume schemes in [9].

As in the Euclidean case classical solutions of (1), (2) do not exist globally in time. Therefore we have to define (weak) entropy solutions.

**Lemma 0.2.** (entropy condition) Let \( f =: (f_1, \cdots, f_{n+1}) \), \( q =: (q_1, \cdots, q_{n+1}) \), \( \eta \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \eta'' \geq 0 \), \( q_l(., s) := \int_{s_0}^s \eta'(\tau) f_l(\tau, \sigma) d\tau \) for \( l = 1, \cdots, n+1 \) and \( u_{0c} \in L^\infty(\Gamma_0) \). Let \( u_\varepsilon \) be the smooth solution of

\[
\dot{u}_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \nabla \cdot v + \nabla \cdot f(., u_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \Delta_\Gamma u_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{on } G_T
\]
\[
u_\varepsilon = u_{0c} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times \{0\}.
\]

and assume that \( u_\varepsilon \to u \) a.e. on \( G_T \), \( u_{0c} \to u_0 \) on \( \Gamma_0 \) and \( u \in L^1(G_T) \). Then \( u \) satisfies

\[
- \int_{\Gamma_0} \eta(u_0) \phi(., 0) + \int_T \int_{\Gamma_t} [ - \eta(u) \phi(., t) - q(., u) \nabla_\Gamma \phi + \phi \nabla_\Gamma \cdot v(u_\varepsilon - \eta(u))] \leq 0
\]
for all test functions \( \phi \in H^1(G_T) \), \( \phi \geq 0 \) and \( \phi(., T) = 0 \) and all \( \eta \) and \( q \) with the properties, mentioned above.

Now we use (4) to define an entropy solution.

**Definition 0.3.** (entropy solution) Let \( \eta, q \) and \( u_0 \) be as in Lemma 0.2. Then \( u(., t) \in L^\infty(\Gamma_t) \cap L^\infty(\Gamma_T) \) is an entropy solution (or an admissible weak solution) of (1), (2) if \( u \) satisfies (4).
In order to solve the conservation law \( (1), (2) \) we have to solve the initial value problem \( (3) \) and to consider \( u_\varepsilon \) for \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). For technical reasons let us consider the following regularized PDE

\[
\dot{u}_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \nabla \Gamma \cdot v + \nabla \Gamma \cdot f(\cdot, u_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon \nabla \Gamma \cdot (B \nabla \Gamma u_\varepsilon) = 0
\]

on \( G_T \) with initial data \( u_\varepsilon = u_{0\varepsilon} \) on \( \Gamma_0 \). \( B = B(x, t) \) is a symmetric diffusion matrix which maps the tangent space into the tangent space at the point \( x \in \Gamma(t) \), so that we have \( B v = 0 \) and \( v^* B = 0 \). Assume also that \( B \) is positive definite on the tangent space and that \( B \) satisfies a suitable matrix ODE. It can be shown similarly as in Lemma 0.2 that \( u \) is an entropy solution if \( u_\varepsilon \to u \). Now we can prove the following a priori estimates.

**Lemma 0.4.** Assume that \( u \) solves the regularized PDE (5). Then

\[
\sup_{t \in (0, T)} \|u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma(t))} \leq c, \quad \sup_{(0, T)} \int_{\Gamma} \|\nabla \Gamma u_\varepsilon\| \leq c, \quad \sup_{(0, T)} \int_{\Gamma} |\dot{u_\varepsilon}| \leq c
\]

with a constant \( c \) which does not depend on \( \varepsilon \).

For the proof we generalize the ideas from the Euclidean case (see [4]) to manifolds. But due to the moving surface the arguments are much more delicate. These estimates imply boundedness of \( u_\varepsilon \) in \( H^{1,1}(G_T) \) and the theorem of Kondrakov compactness in \( L^1(G_T) \). Therefore there exists an \( u \in L^1(G_T) \) and a subsequence \( u_{\varepsilon'} \) which converges to \( u \) in \( L^1(G_T) \). Then assuming that a smooth solution of (5) exists and using Lemma 0.2 we obtain existence of an entropy solution of (1), (2). Uniqueness follows by the technique of doubling the variables [7] on manifolds.

Now we briefly describe the design of a numerical scheme. Following [5] the smooth initial surface \( \Gamma_0 \) is approximated by a triangulated surface \( \Gamma_{0,h} \) which consists of a set of simplices such that all its vertices \( \{x_j^0\}_{j=1}^N \) sit on \( \Gamma_0 \). It defines a triangulation \( \mathcal{T}_{h}^0 \) of \( \Gamma_{0,h} \) and \( h \) indicates the maximal diameter of a simplex on the whole family of triangulations. The triangulation \( \mathcal{T}_h(t) \) and its \( \Gamma(t) \) approximating surface \( \Gamma_h(t) \) is defined by the vertices \( x_j(t) := \Phi(x_j^0, t) \). Then we have \( \mathcal{T}_h(t) = \{T_j(t)\}_{j=1}^M \) for \( t \in [0, T] \), where \( M \) is the time independent number of simplices. For the derivation of a finite volume scheme we introduce discrete time steps \( t^k = k\tau \) where \( \tau \) denotes the time step size and \( k \) the time step index. For an arbitrary time step \( t^k \) we have a smooth surface \( \Gamma^k := \Gamma(t^k) \), its approximation \( \Gamma_h^k := \Gamma_h(t^k) \) and the corresponding triangulation \( \mathcal{T}^k_h := \mathcal{T}_h(t^k) \) with simplices \( T_j^k := T_j(t^k) \). By [5] we know that for sufficiently small \( h \) there is a uniquely defined lifting operator from \( \Gamma_h^k \) onto \( \Gamma^k \) via the orthogonal projection \( \mathcal{P}^k = \mathcal{P}(t^k) \) in direction of the surface normal \( \nu \) on \( \Gamma^k \). For the comparison of quantities on \( \Gamma_h^k \) and on \( \Gamma^k \) we define curved simplices via the projection operator, i.e. \( \mathcal{T}^k_j := \mathcal{P}^k T_j^k \). We denote by \( V_j^k \) the \( n \)-dimensional measure of \( T_j^k \) and the finite volume scheme
by

\[ u_j^{k+1} := \frac{1}{V_j^{k+1}} \left( V_j^k u_j^k - \tau \sum_{e \subset \partial T_j^k} g_{e,T_j^k}^k(u_j^k, u_{l(j,e)}^k) \right), \quad u_j^0 := \frac{1}{V_j^0} \int_{T_j^0} u_0 \]

where \( g_{e,T_j^k}^k(u_j^k, u_{l(j,e)}^k) \) is a consistent, conservative numerical flux. Here \( l(j,e) \) denotes the index of the neighboring simplex of \( T_j^k \) due to the edge \( e \). As an example consider the Engquist-Osher numerical flux:

\[ c_{e,T_j^k}^k(u) := \int_e f(\cdot, t^k, u) \cdot \nu_{\partial T_j^k}, \]

\[ c_{e,T_j^k}^{k,+}(u) := c_{e,T_j^k}^k(0) + \int_0^u \max\{c_{e,T_j^k}^{k,\prime}(s), 0\} ds, \]

\[ c_{e,T_j^k}^{k,-}(u) := \int_0^u \min\{c_{e,T_j^k}^{k,\prime}(s), 0\} ds, \]

\[ g_{e,T_j^k}^{k,EO}(u, v) := c_{e,T_j^k}^{k,+}(u) + c_{e,T_j^k}^{k,-}(v). \]

The most interesting numerical test case which we have considered consists of a time dependent, shrinking ellipsoid. On the initial surface we prescribe initial data with compact support (see Figure 1, \( t = 0 \)). Then a shock is moving to the right (see Figure 1, \( t = 0.50 T \)). During the further time evolution the ellipsoid shrinks much faster than before and the mass on the surface is compressed (see Figure 1, \( t = 0.58 \)) and a new discontinuity is created, which moves also to the right (see Figure 1, \( t = 0.62 T \) up to \( t = 0.91 T \)).
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Adaptive Finite Element Methods for the Laplace-Beltrami Operator

ANDREA BONITO

(joint work with J. Manuel Cascón, Khamron Mekchay, Pedro Morin, Ricardo H. Nochetto)

Elliptic partial differential equations on surfaces are ubiquitous from geometry and relativity theory to applications in phase transitions, materials science, and image processing. They are typically governed by the Laplace-Beltrami operator $-\Delta_\gamma$, but more general operators arise as well.

We present and analyze a new adaptive finite element method (AFEM) for the Laplace-Beltrami problem

$$\begin{align*}
-\Delta_\gamma u &= f & \text{in } & \gamma, \\
u &= 0 & \text{on } & \partial\gamma.
\end{align*}$$

Here $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$, $d = 1, 2$, is a parametric globally Lipschitz, piecewise $W^2_p$ hyper surface with $p > d$. The right hand side $f \in L^2(\gamma)$ is assumed to satisfy the compatibility condition $\int_\gamma f = 0$ whenever $\gamma$ is a closed surface.

Two different types of errors arise when approximating (1) using finite elements: the geometric error due to the approximation of the surface $\gamma$ by a continuous...
piecewise polynomial surface $\Gamma$ and the error corresponding to the finite element resolution of the partial differential equation (1) on the approximate surface $\Gamma$. The nonlinear interplay between these two errors plays a critical role the AFEM needs to accommodate for.

The new algorithm is based on successive applications of two modules, GEOMETRY and PDE, reducing each component of the error independently. The nonlinear effect is then handled by imposing that the two modules deliver approximations with comparable (properly scaled) errors at each iteration.

The GEOMETRY module relies on a Greedy-type algorithm [1] to improve the geometric approximation in $W^1_\infty$. We show that this procedure delivers optimal decay rates for the geometric error in terms of degrees of freedom.

The PDE module consists in sub-iterations of the standard adaptive loop

$$\text{SOLVE} \rightarrow \text{ESTIMATE} \rightarrow \text{MARK} \rightarrow \text{REFINE}.$$  

On a given grid, SOLVE compute the finite element approximation of (1), see [5], ESTIMATE computes the residual type estimator derived in [6], MARK selects a set of cells accounting for a large part of the error using a bulk chasing criteria [4] and REFINE refines the marked cells and possibly others if conforming grids are desired. In the spirit of [3, 2], we prove a contraction property for the sum of the energy error and the scaled residual error estimator between two consecutive adaptive loops.

The optimality of GEOMETRY and the contraction property induced by PDE are instrumental to obtain our main result. The new AFEM yields a decay rate of energy error plus oscillation in terms of number of degrees of freedom as dictated by the best approximation for this combined nonlinear quantity.
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Mean curvature flow in heterogeneous media
MATTEO NOVAGA
(joint work with Annalisa Cesaroni)

We are interested in the long-time behavior of the mean curvature flow in a periodic heterogeneous medium. The evolution law can be written as a forced mean curvature flow

\[ v = \kappa - g \]

where \( v \) denotes the inward normal velocity of the evolving hypersurface, \( \kappa \) its mean curvature (with the convention that \( \kappa \) is positive on convex sets) and \( g \) is a periodic forcing term. In our model, we assume that the hypersurfaces are graphs with respect to a fixed hyperplane and that the forcing term \( g \) does not depend on the variable orthogonal to such hyperplane (fibered medium). Under these assumptions the evolving hypersurface coincides with the graph of the solution to the Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_t &= \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \text{div} \left( \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \right) + g \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \quad \text{in } (0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\
    u(0, \cdot) &= u_0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{align*}
\]

We are particularly interested in the asymptotic behavior as \( t \to +\infty \) of solutions to (1), where the initial data \( u_0 \) and the forcing term \( g \) are assumed to be Lipschitz continuous and \( \mathbb{Z}^n \)-periodic.

The expected result is that, under appropriate assumptions on \( g \), there exists a unique constant \( c \in \mathbb{R} \) and a periodic function \( \psi \) such that

\[ u(t, y) - ct - \psi(y) \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to +\infty, \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^n. \]

This is a result on the asymptotic stability of special solutions to (1), called traveling wave solutions, which are of the form \( \psi + ct \). The constant \( c \) and the function \( \psi \) are respectively the propagation speed and the profile of the wave.

The first question we address is about the existence of traveling wave solutions to (1). We provide a construction of such solutions using a variational approach developed in [11, 12]. In particular, our solutions are critical points of appropriate functionals, which are exponentially weighted area functionals with a volume term, depending on the speed of propagation \( c \). Exploiting this variational structure, we show existence of traveling waves under rather weak assumptions on the forcing term \( g \), i.e.

\[ \exists A \subseteq (0, 1)^n \text{ s.t. } \int_A g(y) \, dy > \text{Per}(A, T^n) \]

where \( \text{Per}(A, T^n) \) is the periodic perimeter of \( A \). Notice that, if \( \int_{(0,1)^n} g > 0 \), then the previous condition holds true by taking \( A = (0,1)^n \).

As our solutions are in general not globally defined, we call them generalized traveling waves. We discuss the regularity of these solutions and of their support,
and we list some stronger conditions on the forcing term, involving only the oscillation and the norm of \( g \), under which we show existence of classical traveling waves.

We point out that the variational method selects the fastest traveling waves for (1) which are bounded above, in particular it is uniquely defined the speed of propagation \( \bar{c} \) of such waves and it holds \( \bar{c} \geq \int_{(0,1)^n} g \).

We recall that the problem of existence of classical traveling waves for the forced mean curvature flow has already been considered in the literature, under different assumptions on the forcing term [9, 8, 6]. We also mention [10], where the authors construct \( V \)-shaped traveling waves in the whole space for a constant forcing term (see also [14, 5, 4] for similar results in the planar case). The construction of the traveling fronts in these papers relies mainly on maximum principle type arguments, while we use here a variational approach.

The second question of interest is about the convergence, as \( t \to +\infty \), of the solution to (1) to a traveling wave solution. We point out that the long-time behavior of solutions of parabolic problems using viscosity solutions type arguments has been extensively considered in the literature: see [13, 3] for the case of quasilinear parabolic problems in periodic environments, and [7] for the case of uniformly parabolic operators in bounded domains with Neumann boundary conditions. However, none of these results applies to mean curvature type equations such as (1). We will describe the asymptotic behavior as \( t \to +\infty \) of the maximum of the function \( u(t, \cdot) \). Namely, letting \( Q := (0,1)^n \), we show that there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that

\[
\min_Q u_0 + \bar{c} t \leq \max_Q u(t, y) \leq \bar{c} t + K + \frac{\log(1 + t)}{\bar{c}}.
\]

We also show that, along a subsequence \( t_n \to +\infty \),

\[
u(t_n, y) - \max_Q u(t_n, \cdot) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 
\psi(y) & \text{locally in } C^{1+\alpha}(E) \\
-\infty & \text{locally uniformly in } Q \setminus E \end{cases}
\]

for all \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), where \( \psi + \bar{c} t \) is a generalized traveling wave supported in \( E \subset Q \).

We point out that the proof of the convergence result, as well as the proof of existence of generalized waves, essentially uses variational methods, rather than maximum principle based arguments.
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Degenerate Neckpinches in Ricci flow: Numerics to Matched Asymptotics to Theorems

JAMESISENBERG

(joint work with Sigurd Angenent, David Garfinkle, Dan Knopf)

The study of degenerate neckpinches in Ricci flow has provided an archetypal example of the important role which numerical simulation can play in geometric analysis. While some of the features of degenerate neckpinch formation had been predicted by Hamilton and others back in the 1980’s, the numerical exploration of these phenomena (done by two of the authors) has provided crucial insight into their behavior. Based on these numerical explorations, three of the authors have carried out a formal matched asymptotics analysis of degenerate neckpinches, thereby obtaining very detailed information concerning their geometric nature. We are currently working to prove that degenerate neckpinches, with the explicit behavior explored in both the numerical and the matched asymptotic studies, do indeed develop during Ricci flow starting from certain types of initial data.

Ricci flow evolves any specified initial metric $\tilde{g}$ on a fixed manifold $M^n$ via the flow equation

\[ \partial_t g(t) = -2\text{Re}[g(t)] + \nu g(t), \]

(with $g(0) = \tilde{g}$) where $\nu$ is a normalization function. It has been shown (using parabolic flows related to Ricci flow by time-dependent diffeomorphism) that the Ricci flow initial value problem is well-posed [2], and that the flow extends in time so long as the curvature is bounded [3].

To study particular examples of Ricci flows which develop degenerate neckpinches, we consider one-parameter families $\tilde{g}(\lambda)$ of initial geometries on $M^n = S^3$ with rotationally symmetric “corsetting” about the equator. The parameter $\lambda$
labels the relative tightness of the corsetting, with larger values of $\lambda$ indicating tighter corsetting. For a number of these one-parameter families of initial geometries, we have numerically simulated \cite{5, 6} the volume-normalized Ricci flows which start at several initial geometries (for a wide range of values of $\lambda$), and have found the following: Those flows starting at geometries with relatively loose corsetting ($\lambda < \lambda_c$, for some “critical” $\lambda_c$) evolve towards rounder and rounder metrics, and ultimately converge to the completely round three-sphere metric, while those flows starting at geometries with relatively tighter corsetting ($\lambda > \lambda_c$) evolve to develop nondegenerate neckpinches at the equator, characterized by the curvature blowing up in finite time as the equator circumference shrinks to zero (and the flow stops).

Noting the qualitatively very different behavior of the flows starting at geometries with corsetting looser or tighter than $\lambda_c$, we are led to ask what happens to normalized Ricci flow which starts at a threshold initial geometry $\tilde{g}[\lambda_c]$. It is these which develop degenerate neckpinches, and are of primary interest for this research program.

Before describing the behavior seen in the flows starting at threshold geometries $\tilde{g}[\lambda_c]$, we recall that a particular Ricci flow is said to develop a singularity if there is a finite time $T_s$ such that as $t \to T_s$, the curvature of the evolving metric $g(t)$ is unbounded. Such a singularity is called a neckpinch singularity if, roughly speaking, in the neighborhood of a spatial point $x_s \in M^n$ at which the curvature becomes unbounded, the limit of the flows for a sequence of localized curvature-renormalized metric dilations\footnote{The process of studying the limits of flows for sequences of local curvature-normalizing dilations of the metric is made precise using the notion of “singularity models”; see, e.g., \cite{4}.} forms a cylinder. A neckpinch singularity at $x_s$ is defined to be nondegenerate if the limits of all curvature-normalizing metric dilations arbitrarily close to $x_s$ lead to cylinders, and it is defined to be degenerate if this is not the case.

Classifying a different aspect of Ricci flow singularities, one labels a singularity to be Type I if it is rapidly forming in the sense that $|T_s - t| \sup_{x \in M^n} |Rm[g(x, t)]|$ is bounded, while the singularity is labeled Type II if it is slowly forming in the sense that $|T_s - t| \sup_{x \in M^n} |Rm[g(x, t)]|$ is unbounded.

While the inherent nature of numerical simulations makes it impossible to identify the threshold initial geometry $\tilde{g}[\lambda_c]$ with complete accuracy, we can effectively explore the behavior of the Ricci flow $g_{\lambda_c}(t)$ which evolves from $\tilde{g}[\lambda_c]$ by numerically simulating the Ricci flows for a sequence of initial geometries $g_{\lambda_i}(t)$ with $\lambda_i$ approaching closer and closer to $\lambda_c$, both from above and below. We carry out this program in \cite{5, 6}, thereby observing the following features of the threshold flows: 1) The flows form “javelins”, in the sense that the curvature peaks at the tips (poles), while the geometry elsewhere approximates a cylinder. 2) The flows develop singularities at the tips, which are degenerate neckpinches. 3) In a neighborhood of the tips, the flows locally approach “Bryant solitons”, which are...
rotationally symmetric steady gradient solitons.\textsuperscript{2} 4) The rate of curvature blowup is Type II.

The work of Gu and Zhu \cite{gu2009}, subsequent to this numerical work, proves that the Ricci flows of threshold-type geometries generally develop Type II singularities which are degenerate neckpinches. Their work does not, however, tell us very much about the detailed behavior of these flows. Based on what we have learned from our numerical studies, we have explored some of these details by using the tools of “formal matched asymptotics” to argue strongly that there is a large class of threshold flow solutions with a well-understood evolution (including the four features noted above)\cite{braustin2011}. We believe that we will soon be able to complete a proof that these solutions exist.

The idea of a formal matched asymptotics study is roughly as follows: Starting with the set of equations $\mathcal{F}[\psi] = 0$ governing the evolution of the system being studied, one presumes that for the class of solutions which one seeks to explore (during the time frame of interest), certain terms in the equations $\mathcal{F}[\psi] = 0$ dominate certain others. Dropping the dominated terms to form the simplified system $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}[\psi] = 0$, one obtains solutions $\hat{\psi}$ of the simplified system, and then one uses $\hat{\psi}$ as an expansion basis for approximate solutions of the original system. One checks consistency by studying if the terms which have been dropped in going from $\mathcal{F}[\psi]$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}[\psi]$ are indeed negligible for solutions $\psi$ which are close to $\hat{\psi}$.

We carry out such an analysis (in \cite{braustin2011}) for the normalized Ricci flow equations for rotationally symmetric metrics on $S^n (n \geq 3)$ satisfying a collection of assumptions which correspond to the formation of a degenerate neckpinch singularity in a neighborhood of one of the poles. This analysis leads us to conjecture the existence of a family of solutions—parametrized by an integer $k \geq 3$—which form neckpinch singularities with curvature blowup at the rate $\sup_{x \in S^n} |Rm[g(x,t)]| \sim \frac{C}{(T-t)^{2-k}}$ (consistent with the singularities being Type II). For all of these conjectured solutions, we describe explicitly what the behavior of the geometry is near the developing singularity, including the asymptotic approach to a Bryant soliton at the pole.

We hope to soon have a proof that solutions of the conjectured form do in fact exist.

In future work, we plan to study the development of degenerate neckpinches in Ricci flow which are not rotationally symmetric. We note that the numerical study of these flows is likely to be challenging, since they involve the evolution of geometric quantities on manifolds which are not patches of $R^n$. We note that for rotationally symmetric flows, the spatial manifold effectively reduces to an interval in $R^1$.

\textsuperscript{2}A steady gradient soliton metric $g$ satisfies the condition $Rc[g] + \nabla \nabla f = 0$ for some function $f$; it follows that the Ricci flow for $g$ evolves purely by diffeomorphism.
Geometric Partial Differential Equations
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 Finite element approximation of large bending isometries

Sören Bartels

1.1. Mathematical model for plate bending. The elastic bending of a thin plate can be derived from three-dimensional hyperelasticity and leads to a minimization of the energy functional

$$E(y) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |II|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot y \, dx$$

with the second fundamental form $II = (\partial_i b \cdot \partial_j y)_{ij}$, $b = \partial_1 y \times \partial_2 y$, under the constraint that $y$ is an isometry, i.e., that for the first fundamental form $I = (\partial_i y \cdot \partial_j y)_{ij}$ we have $I = I_2$ in $\Omega$ with the identity matrix $I_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, and subject to the boundary conditions $y = y_D$ and $\nabla y = \Phi_D$ on $\Gamma_D \subset \partial \Omega$. Imposing a condition on $\nabla y$ on $\Gamma_D$ is equivalent to prescribing the normal $b$ of the deformed plate on $\Gamma_D$. The model has recently been rigorously justified in [7] and coincides with the model proposed in [9]. As a consequence of Gauss’s *theorema egregium* we have for a $C^2$ isometry $y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that the Gaussian curvature $K$ vanishes and that

$$|II|^2 = 4H^2 = |\Delta y|^2 = |D^2 y|^2$$

with the mean curvature $H$. The density results for smooth isometries among isometries in $H^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ proved in [8] show that for an isometry $y \in H^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ we have the same identities and this allows us to replace the Frobenius norm of the second fundamental form of the surface parametrized by $y$ by the Frobenius norm of the Hessian of $y$. 

1.2. **Approximation with discrete Kirchhoff triangles.** Given a triangulation $T_h$ of $\Omega$ a discrete Kirchhoff triangle defines a linear mapping $\theta_h : W_h \rightarrow \Theta_h$ that serves as an approximation of the gradient. In [5] the space $W_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$ consists of continuous functions that are reduced cubic polynomials on each element such that their gradients are continuous at the vertices of elements and the space $\Theta_h \subset H^1(\Omega)$ contains continuous, piecewise quadratic vector fields whose normal derivative is linear along every side of an element. The operator $\theta_h$ enables us to define an approximate Hessian by $\nabla \theta_h(w_h)$. If $N_h$ denotes the set of vertices of elements and $I_h$ the nodal interpolation operator onto the space of continuous, piecewise affine finite elements, we consider the following finite-dimensional constrained minimization problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{Minimize } y_h \mapsto & \quad E_h(y_h) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta_h(y_h)|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{I}_h[f_h \cdot y_h] \, dx \\
\text{subject to } & \quad y_h \in W^3_h \text{ and } [\nabla y_h(z)]^T \nabla y_h(z) = I_2 \text{ for all } z \in N_h, \\
& \quad y_h(z) = y_D(z), \quad \nabla y_h(z) = \Phi_D(z) \text{ for all } z \in N_h \cap \Gamma_D.
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

Notice that only the *independent* nodal values $(y_h(z) : z \in N_h)$ and $(\nabla y_h(z) : z \in N_h)$ are required for the implementation. By interpolation of a smooth, nearly minimizing isoemtry it can be shown that discrete minimizers accumulate at minimizing isometries in $H^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$, cf. [4] for details. Stationary points of $E_h$ can be found by employing a discrete $H^2$ gradient flow of the energy functional with a linearization of the nodal isoemtry constraint about the current iterate. More precisely, given an approximation $y_h^n \in W^3_h$ we define

$$
F_h[y_h^n] = \{ w_h \in W^3_h : [\nabla w_h(z)]^T \nabla y_h^n(z) + [\nabla y_h^n(z)]^T \nabla w_h(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in N_h, \\
\quad \text{and } w_h(z) = 0, \quad \nabla w_h(z) = 0 \text{ for all } z \in N_h \cap \Gamma_D \}
$$

and compute for $\tau > 0$ the correction $d_t y_h^{n+1} \in F_h[y_h^n]$ as the solution of

$$
(\nabla \theta_h(d_t y_h^{n+1}), \nabla \theta_h(z)) + \alpha (\nabla \theta_h(y_h^n + \tau d_t y_h^{n+1}), \nabla \theta_h(z)) = (f_h, z) \quad \text{for all } z \in F_h[y_h^n].
$$

(2)

This iteration is unconditionally stable and energy decreasing in the sense that for all $n \geq 0$ we have

$$
E_h(y_h^{n+1}) + \frac{\tau}{2} \| \nabla \theta_h(d_t y_h^{n+1}) \|^2 \leq E_h(y_h^n).
$$

(3)

The iterates $(y_h^n)$ will in general not satisfy the nodal isoemtry constraint but provided that the initial deformation $y_h^0$ satisfies $[\nabla y_h^0(z)]^T \nabla y_h^0(z) = I_2$ for all $z \in N_h$ we have that, cf. [4],

$$
\| \mathcal{I}_h[\nabla y_h^0]^T \nabla y_h^0 - I_2 \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \tau E_h(y_h^n).
$$

(4)
1.3. **Reissner-Mindlin approximation.** A different approach to the finite element approximation of bending isometries consists in relaxing the second order derivatives on the continuous level by introducing the additional variable $\Phi \approx \nabla y$ and adding a penalty term to the energy functional, i.e., the minimization of

$$
E_t(\Phi, y) = \frac{t^{-2}}{2} \|\Phi - \nabla y\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot y \, dx
$$

subject to the conditions $y|_{\Gamma_D} = y_D$, $\Phi|_{\Gamma_D} = \Phi_D$, and $\Phi^T \Phi = I_2$ in $\Omega$. For the minimization of $E_t$ we employ a discrete $H^1$ gradient flow of $E_t$ with respect to $\Phi$, i.e., we consider the time-incremental evolution defined by the successive minimization of the functionals

$$
E^n_t(\Phi, y) = \frac{1}{2\tau} \|\nabla (\Phi - \Phi^{n-1})\|^2 + \frac{t^{-2}}{2} \|\Phi - \nabla y\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Phi|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} f \cdot y \, dx,
$$

where $\Phi^{n-1}$ is the solution from the previous time step and $\tau > 0$ the time-step size. The condition that $\Phi$ satisfies $\Phi^T \Phi = I_2$ is in the minimization of $E^n_t$ replaced by the linearized condition

$$
(\Phi - \Phi^{n-1})^T \Phi^{n-1} + \Phi^{n-1,T}(\Phi - \Phi^{n-1}) = 0,
$$

To avoid locking effects in the numerical solution of the linear problems in each time step for small parameters $t > 0$, we extend a finite element method developed in [1] for the approximation of linear Reissner-Mindlin models for small displacements. The spaces and the discretization of $E^n_t$ are chosen in such a way that the difference $t^{-2}(P_0 \Phi^h_n - \nabla h y_n^h)$ allows a discrete Helmholtz decomposition. The main part in the solution of one time-step then consists in the solution of a problem that is similar to a discretized Stokes system. An energy decreasing property and convergence of discrete minimizers can be shown on weakly acute triangulations under the conditions $\tau \leq Ch^{2/3}$ and $\theta h^{-1} \leq C$. We refer the reader to [3] for details.

1.4. **Experiment with compressive boundary conditions.** A small vertical load selects one of at least two possible solutions related to the symmetry in vertical direction in the case of compressive boundary conditions at the ends of a rectangular plate for $f = 0$. Figure 1 shows the numerical solution obtained with a Reissner-Mindlin approximation on a triangulation with mesh-size $h \sim 2^{-4}$ and $t = h/4$. Similar deformations were computed with discrete Kirchhoff triangles. For details and other experiments we refer the reader to [3, 4].
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We are interested in the efficient numerical solution of the anisotropic Allen–Cahn equation

\[ \varepsilon(u_t, v - u) + \varepsilon\left( \gamma^2 \nabla u, \nabla (v - u) \right) + \phi(v) - \phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u, v - u) \]

on \( \Omega \times (0, T) \) for a bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \). This equation is obtained as scaled \( L^2 \)-gradient flow for the Ginzburg–Landau free energy

\[ E(u) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} \gamma^2 (\nabla u)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - u^2) \, dx, \quad \phi(u) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(u) \, dx \]

with an anisotropy function \( \gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) and a double-well potential \( \Phi(u) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - u^2) \) with convex part \( \Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \). We assume that

- \( \gamma \) is continuous and 1-homogeneous on \( \mathbb{R}^d \),
- \( \gamma \) is positive and twice continuously differentiable on \( \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \),
- the Hessian \( (\gamma^2)^{\gamma^2} \) of \( \gamma^2 \) is symmetric positive definite on \( \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \),
- \( \Phi \) is convex, proper, lower semicontinuous, and non-negative,
- \( \phi : H^1(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{ \infty \} \) is lower semicontinuous.
These assumptions include the well-known logarithmic potential
\[ \Phi(u) = \Phi_\theta(u) = \frac{\theta}{2} \left( (1 + u) \log(1 + u) + (1 - u) \log(1 - u) \right) \]
as well as the obstacle potential as its limit for \( \theta \to 0 \).

Due to the parabolic nature of this equation an implicit time discretization is in principle desirable. However fully implicit time discretizations still lead to time-step restrictions because of the concave part in the double-well potential. This issue has been addressed by several authors by semi-implicit time discretizations where the concave part is discretized explicitly in time.

Both, the implicit and semi-implicit discretization, lead to problems of the form
\[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} (u - u^{\text{old}}, v - u) + \varepsilon \left( (\gamma^2)'(\nabla u), \nabla (v - u) \right) + \phi(v) - \phi(u) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\bar{u}, v - u) \geq 0 \]
with \( \bar{u} = u \) and \( \bar{u} = u^{\text{old}} \), respectively, where \( u^{\text{old}} \) is the solution from the last time step. Compared to the isotropic case these problems are significantly more expensive to solve because the linear differential operator is replaced by a nonlinear one.

In order to overcome this we propose a new time discretization that approximates the anisotropic term by an isotropic one based on
\[ \left( (\gamma^2)'(\nabla u), \nabla v \right) \approx \left( (\gamma^2)'(\nabla u^{\text{old}}), \nabla v \right) + \lambda (\nabla u - \nabla u^{\text{old}}, \nabla v) \]
for some \( \lambda > 0 \). This approach is similar to a linearization of \( (\gamma^2)' \) where \( \lambda I \) replaces the second derivative of \( \gamma^2 \) that does not exist in general. The resulting stationary problems then take the form
\[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} (u - u^{\text{old}}, v - u) + \varepsilon \lambda (\nabla u, \nabla (v - u)) + \phi(v) - \phi(u) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\bar{u}, v - u) \]
\[ \geq \varepsilon \left( \lambda \nabla u^{\text{old}} - (\gamma^2)'(\nabla u^{\text{old}}), \nabla (v - u) \right). \]

We refer to these as 'linearized' implicit and semi-implicit discretization.

**Theorem 1** (see [5]). Under the above assumptions the semi-implicit and linearized semi-implicit discretization exhibit a unique solution. The same is true for the implicit and the linearized implicit discretization provided that the time step restriction \( \tau < \varepsilon^2 \) is satisfied.

The proof is a straightforward application of standard results for equivalent convex minimization problems whose energy functionals are essentially given by the convex part \( E_0 \) of \( E \). Moreover the above assumptions on \( \gamma \) imply that
\[ L := \sup_{x,y \in S^{d-1}} (\gamma^2)'(x)y \cdot y, \quad \mu := \inf_{x,y \in S^{d-1}} (\gamma^2)'(x)y \cdot y \]
are both positive and thus the strong convexity of \( E_0 \) and Lipschitz continuity of its gradient with respect to the \( H^1 \)-seminorm [5, 1]. This property is the key ingredient to establish the following stability results.
Theorem 2 (see [5]). Let $\lambda \geq L/2$. Then the semi-implicit and linearized semi-implicit discretization satisfy the stability estimate
\[
\left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right) \| u - u^{\text{old}} \|_0^2 + \frac{\varepsilon \mu}{4} \| \nabla u - \nabla u^{\text{old}} \|_0^2 + \mathcal{E}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}(u^{\text{old}}).
\]
If additionally $\tau < \varepsilon^2$ is satisfied then the implicit and the linearized implicit discretization satisfy the stability estimate
\[
\frac{\varepsilon}{2\tau} \| u - u^{\text{old}} \|_0^2 + \frac{\varepsilon \mu}{4} \| \nabla u - \nabla u^{\text{old}} \|_0^2 + \mathcal{E}(u) \leq \mathcal{E}(u^{\text{old}}).
\]

As numerical accuracy test we compare the evolution of shrinking Wulff shapes under the time discrete anisotropic Allen–Cahn equations with the analytically known rates for its sharp interface limit (see e.g. [6]). We start the evolution with a single inclusion with Wulff shape like 0-level set in an otherwise pure phase.

For a threelfold Kobayashi anisotropy and a regularized $l^1$-norm given by
\[
\gamma(r e^{i\alpha}) = (1 + 0.124 \cos(3\alpha))|r|,
\gamma(x) = \sum_i \sqrt{x_i^2 + 10^{-3}|x|^2}
\]
we observe that all four discretizations reproduce the shrinking Wulff shape. While the shrinking rates are reproduced nicely for the Kobayashi anisotropy by the nonlinear and linearized implicit version, even for $\lambda \geq L/4$, the semi-implicit versions fail to provide a comparable accuracy even below the time step restriction needed for the implicit version.

The situation looks worse for the regularized $l^1$-norm where the linearized version does not produce reasonable results unless $\lambda$ is much larger than $L/2$ requiring smaller time steps. In contrast the nonlinear implicit version still works well.

Due to these results a fast solver for stationary problems that is also capable to solve anisotropic problems is needed. We propose to use the truncated nonsmooth Newton multigrid (TNNMG) method [4, 2, 1] for adaptive first order finite element discretizations obtained using a hierarchical error estimator [3, 1].

The foundation of this method is the nonlinear Gauß–Seidel iteration that provides global convergence. It is augmented by Newton-like corrections for truncated linear systems. These systems are obtained as linearization of the problem in only those components where it is smooth enough. They can be solved approximately by one step of a standard multigrid algorithm. Subsequent projection of the linear corrections into the feasible set and a line search for the projected corrections lead to an overall monotone nonlinear multigrid method that converges globally [1]. Numerical experiments show that it exhibits mesh independent convergence rates for isotropic and anisotropic stationary problems if solutions from coarser meshes are used as initial iterates. However, the computational cost is much larger for anisotropic problems.

We summarize that the linearized implicit time discretization allows to reduce the computational effort significantly if the anisotropy is moderate. If the anisotropy is close to a nonsmooth anisotropy the linearized discretization will fail and one has to stick with anisotropic stationary problems. For both cases the semi-implicit versions are practically unusable because they do not provide...
a reasonable accuracy even if the time step restriction of the implicit version is matched. In any case the TNNMG method allows to solve the stationary problems with multigrid efficiency.
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$H^1$ Willmore flow with local area preservation

Björn Stinner

On the set of smooth, immersed, compact hypersurfaces $Γ ⊂ \mathbb{R}^3$ consider the inner product (which is a weighted $H^1$ product)

$$
(v, w)_{H^1_{α, β}} := \int_Γ αv · w + β\nabla_Γ v : \nabla_Γ w \quad \forall v, w : Γ → \mathbb{R}^3.
$$

With respect to this inner product we numerically study a gradient flow dynamics of the Willmore energy

$$
F_b(Γ) = \int_Γ \frac{1}{2} |κ|^2,
$$

where $κ$ is the mean curvature vector, but with the additional constraint that the area of each portion of the surface remains preserved. The idea is to resort to the method of [4] for the classical $L^2$ Willmore flow which is based on surface finite elements and to employ a mixed formulation using quadratic and linear surface finite elements.

Problem: Find a family of hypersurfaces $\{(Γ(t))\}_t$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with velocity $v(t)$ and mean curvature vector $κ(t)$ and find a tension field $σ(t)$ such that for all test functions $w, ψ : Γ → \mathbb{R}^3$ and $λ : Γ → \mathbb{R}$

$$
(v, w)_{H^1_{α, β}} = -⟨δF_b(Γ), w⟩ - \int_Γ σ\nabla_Γ · w,
$$

$$
\int_Γ λ\nabla_Γ · v = 0.
$$

In [4], the variation of the energy is derived making explicit use of the mean curvature vector $κ$ and involving only first order surface derivatives. The above
describe problem leads immediately to the question of solvability with respect to the velocity and the tension.

**Subproblem:** Given a hypersurface $\Gamma$ and a function $f : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}^3$, find $v \in V := H^1(\Gamma)$ and $\sigma \in H := L^2(\Gamma)$ such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Gamma} \alpha v \cdot w + \beta \nabla_{\Gamma} v \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} w + \sigma \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot w &= \int_{\Gamma} f \cdot w \quad \forall w \in V, \\
\int_{\Gamma} \lambda \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot v &= 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in H.
\end{align*}
\]
Solvability of the subproblem follows from the following inf-sup condition (see [1]):
\[
\inf_{\lambda \in H} \sup_{w \in V} \frac{\int_{\Gamma} \lambda \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot w}{\|\lambda\|_H \|w\|_V} \geq B > 0.
\]

**Discretisation:** The idea is to use the P2–P1 Taylor-Hood element where the solution leads immediately to the question of solvability with respect to the velocity and the tension.

**Scheme:** For $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, given $V_h^m(T)$ find $u_h^{m+1}, \kappa_h^{m+1} \in V_h^m(\Gamma_h^m)$ and $\sigma_h^{m+1} \in H_h(\Gamma_h^m)$ such that for all $w_h, \psi_h \in V_h^m(\Gamma_h^m)$ and $\lambda_h \in H_h(\Gamma_h^m)$
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Gamma_h^m} \alpha_r u_h^{m+1} \cdot w_h + \frac{\beta}{\tau_m} \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} u_h^{m+1} : \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} w_h &= \int_{\Gamma_h^m} f \cdot w_h - \int_{\Gamma_h^m} \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \kappa_h^{m+1} : \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} w_h + \sigma_h^{m+1} \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \cdot w_h = r^m(w_h), \\
\int_{\Gamma_h^m} \kappa_h^{m+1} \cdot \psi_h + \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} u_h^{m+1} : \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \psi_h &= - \int_{\Gamma_h^m} \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \id_h^m : \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \psi_h, \\
\int_{\Gamma_h^m} \lambda_h \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \cdot u_h^{m+1} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_m &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{m-1}} - \frac{1}{\tau_m} \right), \\
r^m(w_h) &= \int_{\Gamma_h^m} \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \cdot \hat{\kappa}_h^m \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \cdot w_h + \frac{1}{2} |\hat{\kappa}_h^m|^2 \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \cdot w_h - \mathbf{P}_h^m \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \hat{\kappa}_h^m : \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} w_h, \\
\hat{\kappa}_h^m &= \kappa_h^m + \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} \cdot w_h - \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} (\kappa_h^m)^T : \nabla_{\Gamma_h^m} w_h.
\end{align*}
\]
Here, $\hat{\kappa}_h^m \in V_h(\Gamma_h^m)$ is obtained from $\kappa_h^m \in V_h(\Gamma_h^{m-1})$ by advancing the base functions while keeping the nodal values, and

$$\Gamma_h^{m+1} = (id_h^m + \tau^m u_h^{m+1}(\Gamma_h^m)).$$

The emerging linear algebra saddle point problem was solved with an UZAWA iteration where a direct method was used for the inner elliptic problem [2]. The code was implemented within ALBERTA [5].

**Example (subproblem):** Computations have been performed for the subproblem whose exact solution is given by

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x^4 \\ x(1 - x^2)y \\ x(1 - x^2)z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma = \beta(10x^3 - 6x).$$

Reducing the grid spacing we obtain the expected orders of convergence:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>step</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>$L^2$ error $\sigma$</th>
<th>occ</th>
<th>$H^1$ error $v$</th>
<th>occ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.75475</td>
<td>3.1006777</td>
<td>13.2176412</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.39775</td>
<td>1.7081632</td>
<td>0.9607</td>
<td>1.9160093</td>
<td>3.0150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.20653</td>
<td>0.6769218</td>
<td>1.4123</td>
<td>0.3900714</td>
<td>2.4286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.10433</td>
<td>0.1926953</td>
<td>1.8398</td>
<td>0.0741032</td>
<td>2.4320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.05230</td>
<td>0.0498872</td>
<td>1.9569</td>
<td>0.0164731</td>
<td>2.1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02617</td>
<td>0.0126008</td>
<td>1.9870</td>
<td>0.0039166</td>
<td>2.0744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.01309</td>
<td>0.0031606</td>
<td>1.9957</td>
<td>0.0009576</td>
<td>2.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00654</td>
<td>0.0007911</td>
<td>1.9984</td>
<td>0.0002370</td>
<td>2.0148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example (full problem):** An ellipsoid was relaxed to a sphere and the presented flow was compared with the classical $L^2$ Willmore flow computed with the method of [4]. The local incompressibility (or area preservation) constraint implies global area preservation. The numerical method computes a velocity field which weakly fulfills the constraint, but errors come in when moving the surface in the computed direction. For the suggested method (1) one expects an error in the global area of the order of the time step. Our findings agree with this, see the left figure below.

The right figure displays the grid quality for the $H^1$ flow with constraint in comparison with the classical $L^2$ flow.
Nonconvex anisotropy and the bidomain model

Maurizio Paolini
(joint work with F. Pasquarelli, M. Bugatti)

Evolutions by gradient flow with nonconvex energies typically become ill-posed. As a motivating example consider the energy

\[ F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u_x) \, dx \]

with \( \Omega \) a bounded open interval in \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( \Psi \) a double well potential with equal minima \( \Psi(-1) = \Psi(1) = 0 \) as shown in Figure 1 (left). The \( L^2 \) gradient flow associated to this energy reads

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_t = (\Psi'(u_x))_x \\
  \text{some boundary condition at } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}
\]

which is a backward parabolic equation in the regions where \( \Psi''(u_x) \) is negative and hence ill-posed.

![Figure 1](image-url)
In order to recover a weak notion of solution it is customary to regularize equation (2) by adding small higher order terms or by applying some discretization procedure. If we e.g. discretize (2) in space by finite differences over a uniform grid we obtain the evolution shown in Figure 1 (right) starting from the thick curve. The evolution immediately produces wrinkles as small as the mesh size in the backward parabolic region of the curve which are then drawn up by the surrounding regular evolution. [5]

This is a common feature of most of the regularizations proposed for (2).

The bidomain model is a system of two reaction–diffusion equations in the unknowns $u^i$ and $u^e$ that reads as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon \partial_t (u^i - u^e) - \epsilon \text{div} M^i u^i + \frac{1}{\epsilon} f(u^i - u^e) &= 0 \\
\epsilon \partial_t (u^i - u^e) + \epsilon \text{div} M^e u^e + \frac{1}{\epsilon} f(u^i - u^e) &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

in a 2D or 3D domain $\Omega$, coupled with appropriate initial and boundary conditions. Here $M^i, M^e$ are symmetric positive-definite matrices describing the underlying anisotropy, $f$ is a cubic-like function, derivative of a double-well potential $F$ which we assume to have two equal minima with zero value at $\pm 1$ and the relaxation parameter $\epsilon > 0$ is small and dictates the thickness of the transition region of the transmembrane potential $u = u^i - u^e$.

This parabolic system is well-posed for any choice of the two symmetric positive-definite matrices [4].

By using matched asymptotics in [3] it was observed that the bidomain model exhibits a thin transition region that formally evolves (at first order) by anisotropic mean curvature [2], that is the velocity is given in vector form as

$$
V = -\kappa_\phi n_\phi
$$

where the anisotropy $\varphi^\alpha$ is given by

$$
\varphi^\alpha(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^i \alpha^e}{\alpha^i + \alpha^e}}, \quad \alpha^i = \xi^T M^i \xi, \quad \alpha^e = \xi^T M^e \xi.
$$

Surprisingly $\varphi^\alpha$ becomes nonconvex for some choice of the matrices $M^i$ and $M^e$. This is best illustrated in dimension 2 by choosing $M^i, M^e$ diagonal with eigenvalues satisfying $\lambda_2^i = \rho \lambda_1^i$ and $\lambda_2^e = \frac{1}{\rho} \lambda_1^e$ with $\rho > 1$ a given inverted ratio. Figure 2 illustrates the situation for the two choices $\rho = 2$ (left) and $\rho = 10$ (right).

A $\Gamma$-convergence result for the stationary version of the bidomain model consistent with the asymptotic analysis has been proved in [1].

In the nonconvex case $\rho = 10$ we end up with a well-posed problem (the bidomain model) that is formally asymptotic to an ill-posed evolution (mean curvature flow with a nonconvex anisotropy). This fact deserves investigation, and motivates the numerical simulation of the bidomain model in a test case. We set $\Omega = (0,1.2) \times (0,1.2)$ with reflection condition along the coordinate axes and Dirichlet condition on the remaining boundary. The initial transmembrane potential $u = u^i - u^e$ has a transition region along a circle of radius 1 centered at the origin. We expect the transition region to shrink to a point in finite time during the evolution. Discretization is done with piecewise linear finite elements.
in space and a coupling of an explicit Euler step for the first parabolic equation and a conjugate gradient solver at the next time step to recover $u^i$ and $u^e$.

As shown in Figure 3 we observe after a very short time $t = 0.005$ the formation of wrinkles that later merge together and disappear leaving a transition layer homothetic to the Wulff shape with the swallowtails cutted off.

Such behaviour is quite interesting and deserves further investigation.
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Runge–Kutta time discretization of parabolic differential equations on evolving surfaces

CHRISTIAN LUBICH
(joint work with Gerhard Dziuk and Dhia Mansour)

The linear parabolic differential equation on a moving surface
\[ \dot{u} + u \nabla_{\Gamma(t)} \cdot v - \Delta_{\Gamma(t)} u = f \quad \text{on } \Gamma(t) \]
is first discretized in space by evolving surface finite elements and then in time by an implicit Runge–Kutta method. The semi-discretization in space with piecewise linear surface finite elements, as studied in [1], leads to an ODE system for the coefficient vector \( U(t) \) of the spatially discrete solution, of the form
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( M(t)U(t) \right) + A(t)U(t) = F(t),
\]
where \( M(t) \) is the evolving mass matrix and \( A(t) \) is the evolving stiffness matrix.

Following [2], we study implicit Runge–Kutta time discretizations for the spatially discretized problem, aiming for temporal stability uniformly in the space discretization and for higher-order bounds for the temporal error. The principal technical novelties are an abstract framework in which we can treat the spatially discretized equation, and a stability estimate in the natural time-dependent norms for Runge–Kutta methods that are algebraically stable and stiffly accurate, such as the Radau IIA methods. This permits us to analyse the convergence properties of such methods, leading to an order of convergence up to the classical order of the Runge–Kutta method. Numerical experiments with the Radau IIA time discretization illustrate the behaviour of the fully discrete method.

REFERENCES

Let \( (\Gamma(t))_{t \in [0,T]} \) be a family of closed curves in \( \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2 \), parameterized by \( \vec{x}(\rho,t) : I \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d \), where \( I := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \). Introducing the arclength \( s \) of the curve, i.e. \( \partial_s = |\vec{x}|^{-1} \partial_\rho \) on \( \Gamma(t) \equiv \vec{x}(I,t) \), then
\[
(1) \quad \vec{z} := \vec{x}_{ss} \Rightarrow \vec{z} \cdot \vec{x}_s = 0
\]
denotes the usual curvature vector of \( \Gamma \). For a given \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), we will consider the following elastic energy
\[
(2) \quad E_\lambda(\Gamma, \vec{z}) := \int_\Gamma \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|\vec{z}\|_2^2 + \lambda \right] ds,
\]
where \( \int_I f \ ds := \int_I f |\vec{x}| \ d\rho \) for \( f : I \to \mathbb{R} \). In this talk we want to derive finite element approximations of the \( L^2 \)-gradient flow of (2). This flow is of interest as a means to find stable critical points of (2), so called elasticae. Hence the \( L^2 \)-gradient flow of (2), i.e.
\[
(3) \quad \vec{x}_s = -\nabla_s^2 \vec{z} - \frac{1}{2} \|\vec{z}\|_2^2 \vec{z} + \lambda \vec{z},
\]
is commonly called elastic flow of curves. Here \( \nabla_s^2 := \nabla_s (\nabla_s \cdot) \) and \( \nabla_s \vec{z} := \vec{P} \vec{z} \) is the normal component of \( \vec{z}_s \), where \( \vec{P} := \vec{I} \vec{d} - \vec{x}_s \otimes \vec{x}_s \) is the projection onto the part normal to \( \Gamma \) and \( \vec{I} \vec{d} \) is the identity operator/function on \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The first error analysis for a numerical approximation of (3), including a stability result for a continuous-in-time semidiscrete finite element approximation, was recently presented in [9]. It is the aim of this talk to extend this stability analysis to an alternative finite element approximation, which retains some of the features of the schemes presented in previous work by the authors, see [1, 4, 5]; notably an equidistribution property.

On defining the test function space \( W_{0,\vec{z}} := \{ \vec{y} \in V_0 : \vec{y} \cdot \vec{x}_s = 0 \} \), where \( V_0 := H^1(I, \mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( V_0 := H^1(I, \mathbb{R}) \), the authors in [5] obtained the following weak formulation of (3) with \( \vec{x}_s \) replaced by \( \vec{P} \vec{x}_s \): Given \( \Gamma(0) = \vec{x}(I,0) \), with \( \vec{x}(0) \in V_0 \), for all \( t \in (0,T] \) find \( \Gamma(t) = \vec{x}(I,t) \), where \( \vec{x}(t) \in \nabla_{0,\vec{z}} \), and \( \vec{x}(t) \in \nabla_{0,\vec{z}} \) such that
\[
(4a) \quad (\vec{P} \vec{x}_s - \lambda \vec{z}, \vec{z})_\Gamma - (\nabla_s \vec{z}, \nabla_s \vec{z})_\Gamma - \frac{1}{2} (\|\vec{z}\|_2^2 \vec{x}_s, \vec{z})_\Gamma = 0 \quad \forall \vec{z} \in V_0,
\]
\[
(4b) \quad (\vec{z}, \vec{z})_\Gamma + (\vec{z}_s, \vec{z}_s)_\Gamma = 0 \quad \forall \vec{z} \in V_0.
\]
Here \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\Gamma \) denotes the \( L^2 \)-inner product on \( \Gamma \); that is, \( \langle u, v \rangle_\Gamma := \int_I u \cdot v |\vec{x}| \ d\rho \).

Unfortunately, for a finite element approximation based on the weak formulation (4a,b) it does not appear possible to prove a stability bound. Hence, on utilizing techniques from the formal calculus of PDE constrained optimization and ideas from [9], we derive a semidiscrete continuous-in-time finite element approximation that is stable and that satisfies an equidistribution property. To this end, we
consider the $L^2$-gradient flow of (2) for $\Gamma(t) = \vec{x}(I,t)$, with $\vec{x} \in V_0$ and $\vec{z} \in V_0$, subject to the side constraints

\begin{align}
\langle \vec{\chi}, \vec{n} \rangle_{\Gamma} + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{n} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= 0 \quad \forall \vec{n} \in V_0, \\
\langle \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{x}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= 0 \quad \forall \vec{\chi} \in U_0,
\end{align}

where $U_0 := L^2(I, \mathbb{R})$. Here we should stress that the finite element discretization of the constraints (5a,b), building on the ideas published in the series of papers [1, 2, 4, 5, 6], will lead to an induced tangential motion that gives rise to an equidistribution property in the semidiscrete setting. Of course, on the continuous level the side constraint (5b) is redundant, recall (1). Introducing the Lagrange multipliers $\vec{y} \in V_0$ and $z \in U_0$ for (5a,b), we define the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}, \vec{\chi}, z) := \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{\chi}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} - \langle \vec{y}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} + \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle_{\Gamma} + \langle \vec{z} \cdot \vec{x}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma},
$$

where $|\Gamma| := (1,1)$ is the length of $\Gamma$. Hence we obtain, on taking variations $\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{\chi}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{\chi}}\right](\vec{\chi})$, $\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{\chi}}\right](\vec{y})$ and $\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{\chi}}\right](\vec{\chi})$, that the direction of steepest descent of $E_{\chi}$ under the constraints (5a,b) is given by $-\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta \vec{\chi}}\right](\vec{\chi})$, with the remaining variations of $\mathcal{L}$ set to zero. In particular, we obtain the gradient flow

\begin{align}
\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{x}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= \langle \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{y}, \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle t \vec{\chi} \rangle^2 - 2 \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{y} + 2 \lambda \rangle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle_{\Gamma} - \langle z \vec{\chi}, \vec{x} \rangle_{\Gamma}, \\
\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{z}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= 0; \\
\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{y}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= 0; \\
\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{\dot{y}}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= 0;
\end{align}

for all $\vec{\chi}, \vec{\zeta} \in V_0, \vec{\eta}, \chi \in U_0$. It follows from (6b,d) that $\vec{\dot{P}} \vec{y} = \vec{z}$ and $z = \vec{y}, \vec{x}$. Hence the normal part of the Lagrange multiplier $\vec{y}$ agrees with the curvature vector, but in addition it may have a nonzero tangential component $z$. Overall our formal weak formulation of the $L^2$-gradient flow for (2) subject to (5a,b) can now be formulated as: Given $\Gamma(0) = \vec{x}(I,0)$, with $\vec{x}(0) \in V_0$, for all $t \in (0, T]$ find $\Gamma(t) = \vec{x}(I,t)$, where $\vec{x}(t) \in V_0$, and $y(t) \in U_0$, such that

\begin{align}
\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{x}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &= \langle \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{y}, \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle t \vec{\chi} \rangle^2 - 2 \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{y} + 2 \lambda \rangle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle_{\Gamma} - \langle \vec{\chi} \cdot \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle_{\Gamma}, \\
\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{y}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} &=\langle \vec{\dot{P}} \vec{y}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma};
\end{align}

for all $\vec{\chi}, \vec{\eta} \in V_0$. On noting that $\langle \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{y}, \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma} = \langle \vec{\chi}, \vec{\chi} \rangle_{\Gamma}$ we see that (7a) is independent of the tangential component $\vec{y}, \vec{x}$. Moreover, in common with similar formulations of general geometric evolution equations in the series of papers [1, 2, 3, 5], the tangential part $(\vec{\dot{u}} - \vec{\dot{P}}) \vec{x}_t$, of the velocity vector $\vec{x}_t$ is not prescribed in (7a,b). Hence there does not exist a unique solution to (7a,b). Under spatial discretization, the tangential part of the discrete approximation to $\vec{x}_t$ will be intrinsically fixed, and this choice will lead to an equidistribution property.

Replacing $\mathcal{L}$ with the discrete Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^h(\vec{X}^h, \vec{Y}^h, \vec{Z}^h, \vec{\chi}^h) := \frac{1}{2} \langle \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{y}^h, \vec{\nabla}_s \vec{\chi}^h \rangle_{\Gamma}^h + \lambda \langle |\Gamma| \cdot \vec{\chi}^h, \vec{Y}^h \rangle_{\Gamma}^h - \langle \vec{X}^h, \vec{Y}^h \rangle_{\Gamma}^h + \langle \vec{X}^h, \vec{Z}^h \rangle_{\Gamma}^h$, where $\vec{X}^h, \vec{Y}^h, \vec{\chi}^h \in V_0^h$, $\vec{Z}^h \in V_0^h$, it is possible to repeat the above procedure on the discrete level in order to obtain
the desired semidiscrete finite element approximation. An extension to anisotropic curve energies is also possible, see [7] for details.

These ideas can be extended to the case of open curves, where suitable boundary conditions have to be applied at the two endpoints of the curve. Of interest are clamped and Navier boundary conditions. Finally, we also consider the elastic flow for curve networks. Here meaningful and relevant conditions at junction points need to be derived and suitably discretized. Examples for junction points are $C^0$-junctions, $C^1$-junctions and $C^2$-nonlinear spline junctions; see Figure 1. We refer to [8] for more details on the approximation of the elastic flow of curve networks. The numerical results presented in this talk demonstrate the practicality of the introduced finite element approximations.
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Computation of Ricci curvature

Hans Fritz
(joint work with Gerhard Dziuk)

In 1982 Ricci flow was introduced by Richard Hamilton, and since then a well-developed theory of Ricci flow has been established. In contrast, the hitherto existing numerical studies of Ricci flow are still restricted to special cases, e.g. [1], [2], [3], [4]. One reason for this is that, up to now, there is no general formulation of Ricci curvature, which is open for a consistent finite element approach. This situation remains unsatisfactory even more, since there has been significant progress in the computation of geometric flows like mean curvature flow and Willmore flow [5], [6]. Studying these flows, a finite element method on triangulated hypersurfaces [7], [8] has emerged as a particularly adequate ansatz for developing numerical algorithms for geometric PDEs. Within the scope of this method it is possible to define discrete curvatures on polyhedral surfaces by discretizing weak formulations and, moreover, to prove approximation results [9].

Following this approach we present a definition of discrete Ricci curvature on triangulated hypersurfaces of arbitrary dimension \( n \), which is based on a weak formulation and which does not make use of special assumptions of symmetries. Starting with a formula first proved by Yano, see [10], we get a weak formulation of Ricci curvature on isometrically embedded hypersurfaces \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) only containing tangential gradients. A discretization of this formulation leads naturally to the definition of discrete Ricci curvature on triangulated hypersurfaces, this means that we define discrete Ricci curvature as the \( L^2(\Gamma) \)-projection of a discretized curvature functional. With an appropriate choice of a discrete tangential projection the definition depends solely on quantities of the discrete surface. However, linear convergence against the Ricci curvature of \( \Gamma \) in the \( L^2(\Gamma) \)-norm can be proved only in the case \( n \leq 3 \) and for an at least quadratic approximation \( \Gamma_h \) of \( \Gamma \) with isoparametric Lagrange finite elements. Using a discrete version of the smoothing operator \((\mathbb{1} - \epsilon \Delta \Gamma)^{-1} : W^{-1}(\Gamma) \to W^{1,2}(\Gamma)\), it is also possible to reconstruct Ricci curvature on a piecewise linear triangulation \( \Gamma_h \), see also [11].

In the following we assume \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) to be a smooth, closed, orientable and connected hypersurface and \( \Gamma_h = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} T \) to be an admissible, quasi-uniform triangulation with a maximal diameter \( h \) of the simplices \( T \in \mathcal{T}_h \) and with vertices sitting on \( \Gamma \). There is a strip \( \Gamma_\delta \) of width \( \delta \) about \( \Gamma \) such that the projection \( a(x) = x - d(x)\nu(x) \in \Gamma \), is unique \( \forall x \in \Gamma_\delta \), where \( d \) is the oriented distance function of \( \Gamma \), and \( \nu \) is the unit outward normal. We suppose that \( \Gamma_h \subset \Gamma_\delta \), and in addition that \( a : \Gamma_h \to \Gamma \) is a bijective map, see [5] for details. The lift of a function \( f \) onto \( \Gamma \) is \( f^l := f \circ a^{-1} \). The Lagrange interpolation of second order of \( a|_{\Gamma_h} \) is denoted by \( a_2 \), and the isoparametric hypersurface of second order is defined by \( \Gamma_{h2} := \{ a_2(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x \in \Gamma_h \} \). The finite element spaces on \( \Gamma_h \) and \( \Gamma_{h2} \)
respectively are
\[ \begin{align*}
S_{h1} & := \{ \chi \in C^0(\Gamma_{h1}) \mid \chi_{|T} \in P_1 \forall T \in T_{h1} \}, \\
S_{h2} & := \{ \tilde{\chi} \in C^0(\Gamma_{h2}) \mid \tilde{\chi} \circ \alpha_2 = \chi \text{ for some } \chi \in S_{h1} \}. 
\end{align*}\]

With the projection \( P = \mathds{1} - \nu \otimes \nu \) the Ricci curvature \( \text{ric} \) of \( \Gamma \) in the basis of the ambient space \( \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \) is denoted by \( (\text{Ric}_{\gamma})_{\alpha} := \text{ric}(P e_\gamma, P e_\alpha) \) for \( \alpha, \gamma = 1, \ldots, n+1 \).

**Lemma 1.** Let \( P_{h2} \in (S_{h2})^{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \) be the solution of
\[ \int_{\Gamma_{h2}} I (P_{h2} : \psi) = \sum_{T \in T_{h2}} \int_{T} I_{|T} \left( \tilde{P}_{h2}|_{T} : \psi|_{T} \right), \quad \forall \psi \in (S_{h2})^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}, \]
where \( I \) is the linear Lagrange interpolant on \( \Gamma_{h2} \), and \( \tilde{P}_{h2} = \mathds{1} - \nu_{h2} \otimes \nu_{h2} \) is the projection on the tangent space of \( \Gamma_{h2} \). For \( n \leq 3 \) the lift \( P_{h2}^{\perp} \) onto \( \Gamma \) approximates the projection \( P \),
\[ \| P - P_{h2}^{\perp} \|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \leq C(\Gamma) h^2. \]

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \text{Ric}_{\gamma} \in (S_{h2})^{n+1}, \gamma = 1, \ldots, n+1 \), be the solution of
\[ \int_{\Gamma_{h2}} \text{Ric}_{\gamma} \cdot \psi = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma_{h2}} \left( \nabla_\alpha P_{h2}^{\gamma} \nabla_\beta (P_{h2} \psi) - \nabla_\beta P_{h2}^{\gamma} \nabla_\alpha (P_{h2} \psi) \right), \quad \forall \psi \in (S_{h2})^{n+1}, \]
where \( I \) is the linear Lagrange interpolant, and \( \nabla \cdot (\cdot) \) are the components of the tangential gradient on \( \Gamma_{h2} \). Then for \( n \leq 3 \) the following estimates hold,
\[ \| \text{Ric}_{\gamma} - \text{Ric}^{\perp}_{\gamma} \|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq C(\Gamma) h, \quad \gamma = 1, \ldots, n+1. \]

**Lemma 2.** Let \( P_{h1} \in (S_{h1})^{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \) be the solution of
\[ \int_{\Gamma_{h1}} I (P_{h1} : \psi) = \int_{\Gamma_{h1}} \tilde{P}_{h1} : \psi, \quad \forall \psi \in (S_{h1})^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}, \]
where \( I \) is the linear Lagrange interpolant on \( \Gamma_{h1} \), and \( \tilde{P}_{h1} = \mathds{1} - \nu_{h1} \otimes \nu_{h1} \) is the projection on the tangent space of \( \Gamma_{h1} \). For \( n \leq 3 \) the lift \( P_{h1}^{\perp} \) onto \( \Gamma \) approximates the projection \( P \),
\[ \| P - P_{h1}^{\perp} \|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \leq C(\Gamma) h. \]

**Theorem 2.** Let \( \text{Ric}^\epsilon_{\gamma} \in (S_{h1})^{n+1}, \gamma = 1, \ldots, n+1 \), be the solution of
\[ \int_{\Gamma_{h1}} \text{Ric}^\epsilon_{\gamma} \cdot \psi + \epsilon \nabla_{\Gamma_{h1}} \text{Ric}^\epsilon_{\gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_{h1}} \psi
\]
\[ = \sum_{\alpha, \beta = 1}^{n+1} \int_{\Gamma_{h1}} \left( \nabla_\alpha P_{h1\gamma} \nabla_\beta (P_{h1} \psi) - \nabla_\beta P_{h1\gamma} \nabla_\alpha (P_{h1} \psi) \right), \quad \forall \psi \in (S_{h1})^{n+1}, \]
where \( I \) is the linear Lagrange interpolant, and \( \nabla \cdot (\cdot) \) are the components of the tangential gradient on \( \Gamma_{h1} \). Then for \( n \leq 3 \) and \( \epsilon = h^\frac{4}{3} \) the
following estimates hold,
\[ \| \text{Ric}_\gamma - \text{Ric}_{\gamma \delta}^l \|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq C(\Gamma) h^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \gamma = 1, \ldots, n + 1, \]
\[ \| \nabla (\text{Ric}_\gamma - \text{Ric}_{\gamma \delta}^l) \|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq C(\Gamma) h^{\frac{5}{2}}, \quad \gamma = 1, \ldots, n + 1. \]

Numerical tests for two and three dimensional hypersurfaces of different genus, which were performed within the finite element toolbox ALBERTA [12], confirm our theoretical results.

Since Ricci flow is an intrinsic flow, it is necessary for numerical simulations to generalize the above definitions to the case, when the Riemannian metric is not induced by an embedding in an Euclidean space. We discuss a possibility to do this if the n-dimensional Riemannian manifold \((\Gamma, g)\) is embedded as a differentiable manifold in \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\). Then an extension \(G\) of the Riemannian metric \(g\) to the open strip \(\Gamma_\delta \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) can be defined such that \((\Gamma, g)\) is a Riemannian submanifold of the Riemannian manifold \((\Gamma_\delta, G)\), this means that \(g = G\big|_{T \Gamma \times T \Gamma}\). Because \(\Gamma_\delta\) has a trivial atlas, which only contains the identity map on \(\Gamma_\delta\), it is possible to avoid local parametrizations by using the extension \(G\) for computations instead of the Riemannian metric \(g\) itself. Hence, the problem can be handled within the scope of a surface finite element method.
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In [4], Merriman, Bence, and Osher proposed an interesting algorithm for generating the motion by mean curvature of an interface. Given an initial set $\Sigma^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the boundary $\partial \Sigma^0$ of which is to be evolved by mean curvature motion, along with a time step size $\delta t$, it generates a discrete in time approximation $\{\Sigma^k\}$ to the flow by alternating the following two very simple operations:

1. **Convolution**: Form the diffused out function $u(x)$:
   $$u^k(x) = G_\delta \ast \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma^k}(x)$$
   where
   $$G_t = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-|x|^2/4t}$$

2. **Thresholding**: Define the approximation $\Sigma^{k+1}$ to the flow at time $t = k\delta t$ according to:
   $$\Sigma^{k+1} = \left\{ x : u^k(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

One of the greatest benefits of this algorithm is its unconditional stability: The time step size $\delta t$ is restricted only by accuracy considerations. Moreover, each step of the algorithm can be implemented very efficiently: On a uniform grid, the convolution step can be accomplished in $O(n \log n)$ operations, where $n$ is the total number of grid points, and the thresholding step is just pointwise and trivial.

In their original paper [4], the authors showed how their algorithm can also be adapted to the case of multi-phase curvature flow in certain special cases. Here, the problem is to simulate $L^2$ gradient descent for the energy

$$(1) \quad E(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Per}(\Sigma_j)$$

where the sets $\Sigma_j$ satisfy

$$\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = (\partial \Sigma_i) \cap (\partial \Sigma_j) \text{ for all } i \neq j, \text{ and } \bigcup_{j=1}^N \Sigma_j = D$$

and $D$ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The sets $\Sigma_j$ thus constitute a partitioning of $D$, filling it up, and intersecting only at their boundaries. Gradient descent for this energy leads to the following dynamics at smooth points along an interface $\Gamma_{i,j} = (\partial \Sigma_i) \cap (\partial \Sigma_j)$ separating the two phases $\Sigma_i$ and $\Sigma_j$:

$$(2) \quad v_n(x) = \kappa(x)$$

where $\kappa(x)$ denotes the curvature at $x \in \Gamma_{i,j}$ and $v_n(x)$ denotes the normal speed of the interface at the same point. In addition, natural boundary conditions known as Herring angle conditions [2] hold along triple curves where three interfaces $\Gamma_{i,j}$, $\Gamma_{j,k}$, and $\Gamma_{k,i}$ meet:

$$(3) \quad n_{i,j} \cdot n_{j,k} = n_{j,k} \cdot n_{k,i} = n_{k,i} \cdot n_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{2}$$
where \( n_{i,j} \) denotes the unit normal along \( \Gamma_{i,j} \).

Dynamics given in (2) & (3) arises in certain well-known models of grain boundary motion in polycrystals [5]. The algorithm that was given in [4] for this flow is as follows: Given the initial partition \((\Sigma_1^0, \ldots, \Sigma_N^0)\) of the domain \( D \) and a time step size \( \delta t \), generate the discrete in time approximation \((\Sigma_1^k, \ldots, \Sigma_N^k)\) at time \( t = k\delta t \) by alternating the following two steps:

1. **Convolution:** Form the functions
   \[
   u^k_j(x) = G_{\delta t} * 1_{\Sigma_j^k}.
   \]

2. **Redistribution:**
   \[
   \Sigma_{j}^{k+1} = \left\{ x : u^k_j(x) \geq \max_{i \neq j} u^k_i(x) \right\}.
   \]

In the materials science literature, energy (1) appears as a special case of the following more general model:

\[
E(\Sigma_1, \ldots, \Sigma_N) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{i,j} \text{Area}(\Gamma_{i,j})
\]

where the weights (i.e. the surface tensions) \( \sigma_{i,j} > 0 \) are arbitrary except for satisfying a triangle inequality, which turns out to be necessary for well-posedness:

\[
\sigma_{i,j} + \sigma_{j,k} \geq \sigma_{i,k} \text{ for any distinct } i, j, \text{ and } k.
\]

The relevant dynamics is now:

\[
v_n(x) = \sigma_{i,j} \kappa(x)
\]

at smooth points \( x \) along the interface \( \Gamma_{i,j} \) between \( \Sigma_i \) and \( \Sigma_j \), together with the angle condition

\[
\sigma_{i,j} n_{i,j} + \sigma_{j,k} n_{j,k} + \sigma_{i,k} n_{i,k} = 0
\]

that needs to hold along any triple curves where \( \Gamma_{i,j}, \Gamma_{j,k}, \text{ and } \Gamma_{k,i} \) might meet. The greater generality of model (5) & (6) as compared to the simpler (2) & (3) is required to capture certain important phenomena, such as grain boundary character distribution [1].

Generalizing the threshold dynamics algorithm for the special (i.e. equal surface tension, \( \sigma_{i,j} = 1 \)) case of model (2) & (3) to the more general model (5) & (6) has been of interest for a long time, starting with the original paper [4]. Indeed, attempts in this direction were already made in [4] and later in [3] by modifying the redistribution step of the algorithm, e.g. by weighting the terms \( u^k_i(x) \) by appropriate constants, so that the desired angles (6) at triple junctions are attained. However, the choice of these constants suggested in previous works were incorrect. Consequently, how to properly choose them had remained unknown. It should be pointed out that an alternative, correct algorithm for (5) & (6) was given in [6], but required modifying the redistribution step of the original algorithm to be spatially varying, deviating somewhat from the simplicity of the original scheme.
In joint work with Felix Otto, we determine how to correctly modify the redistribution step of the original multi-phase threshold dynamics algorithm by a very simple generalization (that incorporates constant weights the choice of which depend on the surface tensions $\sigma_{i,j}$) so that the desired angle conditions (6) and the normal speeds (5) are attained.
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